Does Inflation Targeting Anchor Long-Run Inflation Expectations?

Evidence from Long-Term Bond Yields in the United States, United Kingdom, and Sweden

Refet S. Gürkaynak, Andrew T. Levin, and Eric T. Swanson

Bilkent University, Federal Reserve Board, and Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco

Summary

- Long-term interest rates in the U.S. move a great deal
 not just unconditionally, but conditionally (in response to economic news)
- This behavior is inconsistent with standard macroeconomic models in which the steady state is constant over time and known by all agents
- Long-term interest rates in the U.K. and Sweden (both inflation targeters) generally do *not* respond to economic news
 - but U.K. behaves similar to U.S. prior to Bank of England gaining independence from Treasury/Parliament in 1997-98
- All of our empirical evidence can be explained by changes in long-term inflation expectations in the U.S. (and greater anchoring in U.K., Sweden)

Outline of Talk

Review basic ideas from Gurkaynak, Sack, Swanson (2005):

- 1. Macro Model Implications
 - a. steady state
 - b. "excess sensitivity" of long-term interest rates
- 2. Empirical Methodology
 - a. forward rates
 - b. macroeconomic, monetary policy surprises

This paper:

- 1. Compare U.S., U.K., Sweden
 - a. compare inflation targeters (U.K. post-1998, Sweden) to non-inflation targeters (U.S., U.K. pre-1997)
- 2. Compare sensitivity of nominal rates, real rates, inflation compensation

A Benchmark Model (GSS 2005)

$$\pi_{t} = \mu E_{t} \pi_{t+1} + (1-\mu) A_{\pi}(L) \pi_{t} + \gamma y_{t} + \varepsilon_{t}^{\pi}$$
$$y_{t} = \mu E_{t} y_{t+1} + (1-\mu) A_{y}(L) y_{t} - \beta (i_{t} - E_{t} \pi_{t+1}) + \varepsilon_{t}^{y}$$

Clarida-Gali-Gertler "New Keynesian" specification: $\mu = 1$

Rudebusch "Hybrid New Keynesian" specification: $\mu = 0.3$

Close the model with a "Taylor-type Rule":

$$i_t = (1-c)\left[(1+a)\overline{\pi}_t + by_t\right] + c\,i_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t^i$$





Interest Rate Response to a 1 percent Inflation Shock



Figure 1: Interest Rate Impulse Responses in Two Benchmark DSGE Macroeconomic Models

Empirical Methodology

Regress interest rate responses in narrow (1-day) windows on macroeconomic data releases and monetary policy announcements on those same days

Note:

1) use *forward* interest rates (rather than term rates)

e.g.,

$$(1 + fwd_{9to10}) = \frac{(1 + r_{10})^{10}}{(1 + r_9)^9}$$

2) use *surprise* component of macroeconomic data release (or monetary policy announcement)



	Ending 1	yr. ahead	Ending 5	yrs. ahead	Ending 10) yrs. ahead
	Coef.	Std. Err.	Coef.	Std. Err.	Coef.	Std. Err.
Macroeconomic Data Relea	ases					
Capacity Utilization	1.36	0.33***	1.26	0.57**	0.80	0.61
Consumer Confidence	2.11	0.40***	2.88	0.56***	1.97	0.54***
CPI (Core)	1.67	0.42***	1.81	0.60***	1.09	0.66*
Employment Cost Index	3.43	0.89***	4.42	1.13***	3.73	0.93***
GDP (Advance)	4.39	1.42***	4.12	2.19*	3.76	1.82**
Initial Claims	-0.83	0.24***	-0.79	0.29***	-0.59	0.27**
Leading Indicators	0.95	0.34***	0.61	0.57	0.55	0.58
NAPM	3.00	0.51 ***	3.29	0.54***	1.53	0.63**
New Home Sales	1.08	0.39***	1.65	0.54***	0.92	0.51*
Non-farm Payrolls	5.10	0.57***	3.48	0.91 ***	1.88	0.97*
PPI (Core)	0.39	0.45	1.22	0.56**	1.46	0.50***
Retail Sales	2.97	0.72***	2.62	1.03**	1.93	0.92**
Unemployment Rate	-1.76	0.51***	-0.77	0.73	0.14	0.66
Monetary Policy Surprises	0.47	0.10***	-0.04	0.14	-0.16	0.07**

Table 1: Responses of Forward Rates to Economic News (1990-2002)

Huber-White standard errors. *** indicates significance at the 1% level, ** at the 5% level, and * at the 10% level. The estimated coefficient indicates the basispoint response of the one-year forward rate per standard deviation of the macroeconomic variable and per basis-point surprise in monetary policy announcement. Regressions include constant terms that are not shown in the table.

Figure 3: Response of Forward Rates to Macroeconomic Surprises



	Ending 1 y	r. ahead	Ending 5	5 yrs. ahead	Ending 10) yrs. ahead
	Coef. S	Std. Err.	Coef.	Std. Err.	Coef.	Std. Err.
Macroeconomic Data Rele	ases					
Capacity Utilization	1.36	0.33***	1.26	0.57**	0.80	0.61
Consumer Confidence	2.11	0.40***	2.88	0.56***	1.97	0.54***
CPI (Core)	1.67	0.42***	1.81	0.60***	1.09	0.66*
Employment Cost Index	3.43	0.89***	4.42	1.13***	3.73	0.93***
GDP (Advance)	4.39	1.42***	4.12	2.19*	3.76	1.82**
Initial Claims	-0.83	0.24***	-0.79	0.29***	-0.59	0.27**
Leading Indicators	0.95	0.34***	0.61	0.57	0.55	0.58
NAPM	3.00	0.51 ***	3.29	0.54***	1.53	0.63**
New Home Sales	1.08	0.39***	1.65	0.54***	0.92	0.51*
Non-farm Payrolls	5.10	0.57***	3.48	0.91 ***	1.88	0.97*
PPI (Core)	0.39	0.45	1.22	0.56**	1.46	0.50***
Retail Sales	2.97	0.72***	2.62	1.03**	1.93	0.92**
Unemployment Rate	-1.76	0.51 ***	-0.77	0.73	0.14	0.66
Monetary Policy Surprises	0.47	0.10***	-0.04	0.14	-0.16	0.07**

Table 1: Responses of Forward Rates to Economic News (1990-2002)

Huber-White standard errors. *** indicates significance at the 1% level, ** at the 5% level, and * at the 10% level. The estimated coefficient indicates the basispoint response of the one-year forward rate per standard deviation of the macroeconomic variable and per basis-point surprise in monetary policy announcement. Regressions include constant terms that are not shown in the table.



Figure 4: Response of Forward Rates to Monetary Policy Surprises



Figure 5: Ten-year-ahead Forward Rate and Monetary Policy Surprises

	Ending 5 yrs. Ahead		Ending 1	0 yrs. Ahead	
	Coef.	Std. Err.	Coef.	Std. Err.	
Macroeconomic Data Releases					
Capacity Utilization	2.05	0.91 **	1.37	0.79*	
Consumer Confidence	3.82	1.77**	1.16	0.63*	
CPI (Core)	2.86	1.22**	1.75	1.06*	
Employment Cost Index	3.76	1.70**	3.92	1.22***	
GDP (Advance)	5.66	2.48**	5.14	2.69*	
Initial Claims	-1.29	0.46***	-0.23	0.50	
Leading Indicators	2.02	1.30	0.06	0.80	
NAPM	5.23	1.07***	2.73	0.80***	
New Home Sales	0.86	0.90	1.31	0.71*	
Non-farm Payrolls	3.51	0.85***	1.93	1.18*	
PPI (Core)	-0.06	0.87	1.27	0.63**	
Retail Sales	5.24	1.49***	2.05	1.14*	
Unemployment Rate	-1.98	0.89**	0.00	1.19	
Monetary Policy Surprises	0.02	0.15	-0.30	0.08***	

Table 2: Response of STRIPS-based Forward Rates to Economic News

Summary of Empirical Observations

Far-ahead forward rates:

- 1) exhibit economically and statistically significant responses to economic news
- 2) move in *same* direction as output and inflation surprises
- 3) move in *opposite* direction to monetary policy surprises

According to Fisher's Equation:

$$i^* = r^* + \pi^*$$

The *N*-year-ahead forward rate satisfies (for large enough *N*):

$$fwd_{N to N+1} = r^* + \pi^* + \rho$$

According to Fisher's Equation:

$$i^* = r^* + \pi^*$$

The *N*-year-ahead forward rate satisfies (for large enough *N*):

$$fwd_{N to N+1} = r^* + \pi^* + \rho$$

Note:

• Changes in *r** alone are not sufficient to explain empirical findings

According to Fisher's Equation:

$$i^* = r^* + \pi^*$$

The *N*-year-ahead forward rate satisfies (for large enough *N*):

$$fwd_{N to N+1} = r^* + \pi^* + \rho$$

Note:

- Changes in *r** alone are not sufficient to explain empirical findings
- Changes in ρ alone are not sufficient to explain empirical findings

According to Fisher's Equation:

$$i^* = r^* + \pi^*$$

The *N*-year-ahead forward rate satisfies (for large enough *N*):

$$fwd_{N to N+1} = r^* + \pi^* + \rho$$

Note:

- Changes in *r** alone are not sufficient to explain empirical findings
- Changes in ρ alone are not sufficient to explain empirical findings
- But changes in π^* alone *are* sufficient to explain all empirical findings

Model with Time-varying π^*

$$\pi_{t} = \mu E_{t} \pi_{t+1} + (1-\mu) A_{\pi}(L) \pi_{t} + \gamma y_{t} + \varepsilon_{t}^{\pi}$$

$$y_{t} = \mu E_{t} y_{t+1} + (1-\mu) A_{y}(L) y_{t} - \beta (i_{t} - E_{t} \pi_{t+1}) + \varepsilon_{t}^{y}$$

$$i_{t} = (1-c) \left[\overline{\pi}_{t} + a(\overline{\pi}_{t} - \pi_{t}^{*}) + by_{t} \right] + c i_{t-1} + \varepsilon_{t}^{i}$$

$$\pi_{t}^{*} = \pi_{t-1}^{*} + \theta(\overline{\pi}_{t-1} - \pi_{t-1}^{*}) + \varepsilon_{t}^{\pi^{*}}$$





Model with Time-varying π^* and Imperfect Information

$$\pi_{t} = \mu E_{t} \pi_{t+1} + (1-\mu) A_{\pi}(L) \pi_{t} + \gamma y_{t} + \varepsilon_{t}^{\pi}$$

$$y_{t} = \mu E_{t} y_{t+1} + (1-\mu) A_{y}(L) y_{t} - \beta (i_{t} - E_{t} \pi_{t+1}) + \varepsilon_{t}^{y}$$

$$i_{t} = (1-c) \Big[\overline{\pi}_{t} + a(\overline{\pi}_{t} - \pi_{t}^{*}) + by_{t} \Big] + c i_{t-1} + \varepsilon_{t}^{i}$$

$$\pi_{t}^{*} = \pi_{t-1}^{*} + \theta(\overline{\pi}_{t-1} - \pi_{t-1}^{*}) + \varepsilon_{t}^{\pi^{*}}$$
$$\hat{\pi}_{t}^{*} = \hat{\pi}_{t-1}^{*} + \theta(\overline{\pi}_{t-1} - \hat{\pi}_{t-1}^{*}) - \kappa(i_{t} - \hat{i}_{t})$$



Expected Impulse Responses for Rudebusch Model with Time-varying π^* (Imperfect Information)





Summary of Analysis for the U.S.

- Forward nominal interest rates respond significantly to economic news
- Changes in *r** alone not sufficient to explain empirical findings
- Changes in risk premium alone have a hard time explaining empirical findings (e.g., cyclicality goes wrong way)
- Changes in π^* alone are sufficient to explain *all* empirical findings
- Imperfect information in private sector not necessary, but increases magnitude, intuitiveness of effects of changes in π^*

Comparing the U.S., U.K., and Sweden (GLS 2006)

 U.K.: inflation targeter since October 1992 (though Bank of England not independent pre-1997)
 Sweden: inflation targeter since January 1993
 U.S.: informal commitment to "price stability"

2. U.S., U.K., and Sweden have all issued inflation-indexed bonds

compare forward nominal rates, real rates, and "inflation compensation"
U.S.: TIPS since January 1997 (forward rates since 1998)
U.K.: inflation-indexed bonds since at least mid-1980s
Sweden: inflation-indexed bonds since 1994 (forward rates since 1996)

Relatively short sample, but daily bond yield data, frequent release of important macro statistics yield about 1000 observations per country

	1	1-year Forward	1-year Forward	1-year Forward
	1-ytal Nominal Data	Nominal Rate	Real Rate	Inflation Compensation
		ending in 10 yrs	ending in 10 yrs	ending in 10 yrs
Capacity	1.57**	0.98	0.46	0.52
Utilization	(2.76)	(1.68)	(1.74)	(0.94)
Consumer	1.46**	0.62	0.19	0.43
Confidence	(2.89)	(0.99)	(0.47)	(0.94)
Core Consumer	0.98	1.18	-0.24	1.42*
Price Index	(1.51)	(1.74)	(-0.57)	(2.30)
real GDP	2.17*	2.07*	0.30	1.77*
(advance)	(2.51)	(2.22)	(0.52)	(2.06)
Initial	-1.13**	-0.73*	-0.21	-0.51*
Jobless Claims	(-3.79)	(-2.53)	(-1.32)	(-2.10)
NAPM/ISM	2.28**	2.98**	1.50**	1.48*
Manufacturing	(2.65)	(4.27)	(3.52)	(2.55)
New Home	0.53	1.15*	-0.27	1.42**
Sales	(1.36)	(2.38)	(-0.98)	(3.47)
Nonfarm	4.44**	1.84*	1.32**	0.52
Payrolls	(7.03)	(2.26)	(3.46)	(0.83)
Retail Sales	1.69**	1.44	0.56	0.88
(ex autos)	(2.68)	(1.70)	(1.48)	(1.45)
Unemployment	-0.97	0.78	0.89	-0.11
Rate	(-1.47)	(0.79)	(1.36)	(-0.15)
Monetary	0.23*	-0.12	0.00	-0.12
Policy	(2.02)	(-1.43)	(0.03)	(-1.43)
# Observations	971	971	971	971
R^2	.14	.06	.04	.04
Joint test p-value	.0000**	.0000**	.0005**	.0002**

 Table 1: U.S. Forward Rate Responses to Economic News (1998-2005)

Table 3

U.K. Forward Rate Responses to Economic News, pre-Bank of England Independence (1993-April 1997)

	1-year Nominal Rate	1-year Forward Nominal Rate ending in 10 yrs	1-year Forward Real Rate ending in 10 yrs	1-year Forward Inflation Compensation ending in 10 yrs
Average Earnings	3.23 ** (3.33)	0.69 (0.82)	0.55 (1.72)	0.15 (0.20)
real GDP (preliminary)	1.75 (1.68)	2.36* (2.14)	0.55 (1.71)	1.80* (2.02)
Manufacturing Production	0.76 (0.88)	-0.27 (-0.21)	-0.60 (-1.76)	0.33 (0.29)
Producer Price Index	2.13** (3.12)	2.98** (2.95)	0.76* (2.30)	2.22* (2.61)
core Retail Price Index	2.39 ** (3.19)	3.21** (3.07)	0.62 (1.88)	2.60** (3.08)
Retail Sales	2.17** (2.98)	0.32 (0.30)	0.51 (1.17)	-0.19 (-0.24)
Monetary Policy	0.67** (5.73)	-0.54 ** (-3.91)	0.06 (1.27)	-0.60 ** (-5.99)
# Observations	237	237	237	237
R^2	.35	.18	.07	.21
Joint test p-value	.0000**	.0000**	.003**	.0000**

Table 4U.K. Forward Rate Responses to Economic News,post-Bank of England Independence (July 1998-2005)

	1-year Nominal Rate	1-year Forward Nominal Rate ending in 10 yrs	1-year Forward Real Rate ending in 10 yrs	1-year Forward Inflation Compensation ending in 10 yrs
Average	1.81** (4.12)	-0.38	-0.12	-0.26
Earnings		(-1.15)	(-0.53)	(-0.94)
real GDP	2.04** (4.02)	-0.53	-0.03	-0.49
(preliminary)		(-0.47)	(-0.09)	(-0.54)
Manufacturing Production	1.26** (3.09)	0.59 (1.08)	0.63** (2.69)	-0.04 (-0.09)
Producer	0.21	0.22	0.44	-0.22
Price Index	(0.55)	(0.58)	(1.88)	(-0.63)
core Retail	2.60** (4.83)	-0.89	-0.13	-0.76
Price Index		(-1.84)	(-0.53)	(-1.95)
Retail Sales	1.58** (3.92)	-1.08* (-2.05)	0.09 (0.34)	-1.18** (-2.75)
Monetary	0.72** (5.96)	-0.12	0.01	-0.13
Policy		(-0.92)	(0.20)	(-1.01)
# Observations	480	480	480	480
<i>R</i> ²	.24	.02	.02	.03
Joint test p-value	.0000**	.119	.123	.051

	1-year Nominal Rate	1-year Forward Nominal Rate ending in 10 yrs	1-year Forward Real Rate ending in 10 yrs	1-year Forward Inflation Compensation ending in 10 yrs
Consumer	1.94*	1.01	0.16	0.85
Price Index	(2.55)	(1.25)	(0.64)	(1.13)
core Consumer Price	2.72**	-0.68	-0.36	-0.33
Index	(4.26)	(-0.71)	(-0.96)	(-0.37)
real GDP	0.79	0.72	0.43	0.29
(preliminary)	(1.17)	(1.12)	(0.94)	(0.45)
Industrial	-0.14	-0.71	-0.04	-0.67
Production	(-0.24)	(-1.31)	(-0.14)	(-1.55)
Producer	0.63	-0.47	-0.23	-0.24
Price Index	(0.83)	(-1.41)	(-1.01)	(-0.76)
Retail Sales	-0.49	0.26	-0.39	0.65
	(-0.72)	(0.49)	(-1.93)	(1.21)
IIl.	-0.26	-0.42	-0.37	-0.04
Unempioyment	(-0.67)	(-0.93)	(-1.57)	(-0.11)
Monetary	0.72**	0.25	0.03	0.23
Policy	(3.62)	(1.56)	(0.63)	(1.48)
# Observations	514	514	514	514
R^2	.12	.02	.02	.01
Joint test p-value	.0000**	.337	.341	.420

Table 5Swedish Forward Rate Responses to Domestic Economic News (1996-2005)



(a) GDP Surprises



(b) Inflation Surprises



(c) Monetary Policy Surprises

(a) Far-Ahead Forward Nominal Rates



(b) Far-Ahead Forward Inflation Compensation





Conclusions

- 1) In the U.S., far-ahead forward nominal rates and inflation compensation respond strongly to economic news
- 2) All of our empirical findings are consistent with changes in π^*
- 3) Standard macro models impose an incorrect restriction: constant π^*
- 4) A credible inflation target seems to reduce long-term interest rate sensitivity, as in the U.K., Sweden

Caveats:

Forward rates in the U.K., Sweden are still fairly volatile *unconditionally* – but perhaps less so than in the U.S.

Inflation targeting is not a silver bullet—credibility, commitment required

- even then, some unconditional volatility remains