Introduction Methods

Results 00000 Persistence

Uncertainty 00000000 Conclusions o

Measuring the Effects of Federal Reserve Forward Guidance and Asset Purchases on Financial Markets

Eric T. Swanson

University of California, Irvine

Seminar Federal Reserve Bank of Dallas August 28, 2018

Introduction	Methods	Results	Persistence	Uncertainty	Conclusions
• 00000	0000	00000	000000	0000000	o
Backgrour	nd				

In December 2008, U.S. Federal Reserve/FOMC lowered federal funds rate essentially to 0

U.S. economy was still in a severe recession

FOMC began to pursue "**unconventional monetary policy**" to try to lower longer-term interest rates and stimulate the economy:

Introduction	Methods	Results	Persistence	Uncertainty	Conclusions
●ooooo	0000	00000		00000000	o
Backgrou	nd				

In December 2008, U.S. Federal Reserve/FOMC lowered federal funds rate essentially to 0

U.S. economy was still in a severe recession

FOMC began to pursue "**unconventional monetary policy**" to try to lower longer-term interest rates and stimulate the economy:

• Forward guidance: information about the future path of the federal funds rate

Introduction	Methods	Results	Persistence	Uncertainty	Conclusions
••••••	0000	00000	000000	0000000	o
Backgrour	nd				

In December 2008, U.S. Federal Reserve/FOMC lowered federal funds rate essentially to 0

U.S. economy was still in a severe recession

FOMC began to pursue "**unconventional monetary policy**" to try to lower longer-term interest rates and stimulate the economy:

- Forward guidance: information about the future path of the federal funds rate
- Large-scale asset purchases (LSAPs): purchases of hundreds of billions of \$ of longer-term Treasury and mortgage-backed securities

Introduction	Methods	Results	Persistence	Uncertainty	Conclusions				
00000	0000	00000	000000	00000000	o				
Dealering									





FOMC Statement on March 18, 2009

The Committee will maintain the target range for the federal funds rate at 0 to 1/4 percent and anticipates that economic conditions are likely to warrant exceptionally low levels of the federal funds rate for an extended period. To provide greater support to mortgage lending and housing markets, the Committee decided today to increase the size of the Federal Reserve's balance sheet further by purchasing up to an additional \$750 billion of agency mortgage-backed securities, bringing its total purchases of these securities to up to \$1.25 trillion this year, and to increase its purchases of agency debt this year by up to \$100 billion to a total of up to \$200 billion. Moreover, to help improve conditions in private credit markets, the Committee decided to purchase up to \$300 billion of longer-term Treasury securities over the next six months.

Introduction Methods Results Persistence Uncertainty Conclusions 000000 Unconventional Monetary Policy Announcements Nov. 3, 2010 FOMC announces it will purchase an additional \$600B of longer-term Treasuries (a.k.a. "QE2") Aug. 9, 2011 FOMC announces it expects to keep the federal funds rate between 0 and 25 bp "at least through mid-2013" Sep. 21, 2011 FOMC announces it will sell \$400B of short-term Treasuries and use the proceeds to buy \$400B of long-term Treasuries (a.k.a. "Operation Twist")

- Jan. 25, 2012 FOMC announces it expects to keep the federal funds rate between 0 and 25 bp "at least through late 2014"
- Sep. 13, 2012 FOMC announces it expects to keep the federal funds rate between 0 and 25 bp "at least through mid-2015", and that it will purchase \$40B of mortgage-backed securities per month for the indefinite future



- Treasuries per month for the indefinite future, and that it expects to keep the federal funds rate between 0 and 25 bp for at least as long as unemployment remains above 6.5 percent and inflation expectations remain subdued
- Dec. 18, 2013 FOMC announces it will start to taper its purchases of longer-term Treasuries and mortgage-backed securities to paces of \$40B and \$35B per month, respectively
- Dec. 17, 2014 FOMC announces that "it can be patient in beginning to normalize the stance of monetary policy"
- Mar. 18, 2015 FOMC announces that "an increase in the target range for the federal funds rate remains unlikely at the April FOMC meeting"
- Oct. 28, 2015 FOMC announces that it will decide whether to raise the funds rate at its next meeting.

Introduction	Methods	Results	Persistence	Uncertainty	Conclusions
oooo●o	0000	00000		00000000	o
Motivatic	n				

Was unconventional monetary policy effective?

Introduction	Methods	Results	Persistence	Uncertainty	Conclusions
ooooooo	0000	00000	000000	00000000	o
Motivatior	1				

- Was unconventional monetary policy effective?
- Which type—forward guidance or LSAPs—was more effective?

Introduction	Methods	Results	Persistence	Uncertainty	Conclusions
000000	0000	00000		0000000	o
Motivatio	n				

- Was unconventional monetary policy effective?
- Which type—forward guidance or LSAPs—was more effective?
- Were the effects persistent?

Introduction	Methods	Results	Persistence	Uncertainty	Conclusions
000000	0000	00000		00000000	o
Motivatio	n				

- Was unconventional monetary policy effective?
- Which type—forward guidance or LSAPs—was more effective?
- Were the effects persistent?
- Should central banks increase their inflation target to avoid hitting the zero lower bound in the first place?

Introduction	Methods	Results	Persistence	Uncertainty	Conclusions
000000	0000	00000		00000000	o
Motivation	า				

- Was unconventional monetary policy effective?
- Which type—forward guidance or LSAPs—was more effective?
- Were the effects persistent?
- Should central banks increase their inflation target to avoid hitting the zero lower bound in the first place?

Problem: It's difficult to distinguish FG from LSAPs in the data:

 Many FOMC announcements contain elements of both forward guidance and LSAPs

Introduction	Methods	Results	Persistence	Uncertainty	Conclusions
000000	0000	00000		00000000	o
Motivation	า				

- Was unconventional monetary policy effective?
- Which type—forward guidance or LSAPs—was more effective?
- Were the effects persistent?
- Should central banks increase their inflation target to avoid hitting the zero lower bound in the first place?

Problem: It's difficult to distinguish FG from LSAPs in the data:

- Many FOMC announcements contain elements of both forward guidance and LSAPs
- One way LSAPs can affect the economy is by signaling FOMC commitment to a future path for the federal funds rate

Introduction	Methods	Results	Persistence	Uncertainty	Conclusions
oooo●o	0000	00000		00000000	o
Motivatior	າ				

- Was unconventional monetary policy effective?
- Which type—forward guidance or LSAPs—was more effective?
- Were the effects persistent?
- Should central banks increase their inflation target to avoid hitting the zero lower bound in the first place?

Problem: It's difficult to distinguish FG from LSAPs in the data:

- Many FOMC announcements contain elements of both forward guidance and LSAPs
- One way LSAPs can affect the economy is by signaling FOMC commitment to a future path for the federal funds rate
- Only **surprise** component of announcement should affect asset prices, but we don't have good data on what markets expected



Extend the methods of Gürkaynak, Sack, and Swanson (2005) to separately identify the forward guidance and LSAP components of every FOMC announcement from January 2009 to October 2015



- Extend the methods of Gürkaynak, Sack, and Swanson (2005) to separately identify the forward guidance and LSAP components of every FOMC announcement from January 2009 to October 2015
- Use high-frequency regressions around those FOMC announcements to estimate effects of each type of unconventional monetary policy on asset prices



- Extend the methods of Gürkaynak, Sack, and Swanson (2005) to separately identify the forward guidance and LSAP components of every FOMC announcement from January 2009 to October 2015
- Use high-frequency regressions around those FOMC announcements to estimate effects of each type of unconventional monetary policy on asset prices
- Also look at the persistence of these effects, the effects of these policies on uncertainty, etc.

Introduction	Methods	Results	Persistence	Uncertainty	Conclusions
000000	●ooo	00000	000000	0000000	O
Data					

Introduction	Methods	Results	Persistence	Uncertainty	Conclusions
000000	●ooo	00000	000000	0000000	o
Data					

Look at 30-minute response of N = 8 different points along yield curve to those announcements

Introduction	Methods	Results	Persistence	Uncertainty	Conclusions
000000	●ooo	00000	000000	0000000	o
Data					

Look at 30-minute response of N = 8 different points along yield curve to those announcements

Collect 30-minute asset price responses into a $T \times N$ matrix of asset price responses X

Introduction	Methods	Results	Persistence	Uncertainty	Conclusions
000000	●ooo	00000	000000	0000000	o
Data					

Look at 30-minute response of N = 8 different points along yield curve to those announcements

Collect 30-minute asset price responses into a $T \times N$ matrix of asset price responses X

Idea: Matrix of asset price responses *X* is well described by a factor model with a small number of factors:

$$\underbrace{X}_{T \times N} = \underbrace{F}_{T \times k} \underbrace{\Lambda}_{k \times N} + \underbrace{\varepsilon}_{T \times N}$$

Test for the Number of Factors

H ₀ : number of	degrees of	Wald	
factors equals	freedom	statistic	<i>p</i> -value
0	28	88.4	$3.5 imes10^{-8}$

Test for the Number of Factors

H ₀ : number of	degrees of	Wald	
factors equals	freedom	statistic	<i>p</i> -value
0	28	88.4	$3.5 imes10^{-8}$
1	20	52.7	.00009

Test for the Number of Factors

H ₀ : number of	degrees of	Wald	
factors equals	freedom	statistic	<i>p</i> -value
0	28	88.4	$3.5 imes10^{-8}$
1	20	52.7	.00009
2	13	26.7	.014

Test for the Number of Factors

H_0 : number of	degrees of	Wald	
actors equals	freedom	statistic	<i>p</i> -value
0	28	88.4	$3.5 imes10^{-8}$
1	20	52.7	.00009
2	13	26.7	.014
3	7	11.8	.108

Test for the Number of Factors

Apply Cragg-Donald (1997) test for the number of factors k needed to explain the data X (int. rate futures and bond yields, N = 8):

H ₀ : number of	degrees of	Wald	
factors equals	freedom	statistic	<i>p</i> -value
0	28	88.4	$3.5 imes10^{-8}$
1	20	52.7	.00009
2	13	26.7	.014
3	7	11.8	.108

Implications:

- no one factor is enough to explain effects of monetary policy
- two factors are also not enough
- three factors seem to explain the data well

Introduction 000000	Methods ○○●○	Results	Persistence	Uncertainty 00000000	Conclusions o
Identific	ation Pro	blem			

Given a 3-dimensional factor model





Given a 3-dimensional factor model



• Let *U* be any 3×3 orthogonal matrix (U'U = I)

• Let
$$\widetilde{F} \equiv FU', \ \widetilde{\Lambda} \equiv U\Lambda$$

• Then
$$F\Lambda = \widetilde{F}\widetilde{\Lambda}$$
, so

$$\boldsymbol{X} = \widetilde{\boldsymbol{F}}\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$$

fits the data exactly as well as the original factor model

Identifui		optiono			
Introduction	Methods ○○○●	Results	Persistence	Uncertainty 00000000	Conclusions o



Then, uniquely identify rotation U with 3 restrictions:

LSAPs have no effect on current fed funds rate



Then, uniquely identify rotation *U* with 3 restrictions:

- LSAPs have no effect on current fed funds rate
- Interpretation of the second secon



Then, uniquely identify rotation *U* with 3 restrictions:

- LSAPs have no effect on current fed funds rate
- Interpretation of the second state of the s
- Image: Size of LSAP factor from 1991–2008

Introduction	Methods	Results	Persistence	Uncertainty	Conclusions
000000	0000	●oooo	000000	00000000	o
Estimated	Effects	of Funds	Rate, FG, a	and LSAPs	\$

F

change in fed funds rate
change in fwd guidance
change in LSAPs

FFR	ED2	ED3	ED4	2y Tr	5y Tr	10y T
8.78	5.55	5.21	4.43	3.68	2.04	0.95
0.00	4.16	5.32	6.02	4.85	5.09	3.92
0.00	1.42	1.37	1.04	-0.32	-3.71	-5.68

Introduction	Methods	Results	Persistence	Uncertainty	Conclusions
000000	0000	●oooo	000000	0000000	o
Estimated	Effects	of Funds	Rate, FG, a	and LSAPs	5

change in fed funds rate change in fwd guidance change in LSAPs

FFR ED2 ED3 ED4 5y Tr 10y Tr 2y Tr 5.21 8.78 5.55 4.43 3.68 2.04 0.95 0.00 4.16 5.32 6.02 4.85 5.09 3.92 1.37 1.04 - 0.32 - 3.71 - 5.680.00 1.42

Introduction	Methods	Results	Persistence	Uncertainty	Conclusions
000000	0000	●oooo	000000	00000000	o
Estimated	Effects	of Funds	Rate, FG, a	and LSAPs	\$

change in fed funds rate change in fwd guidance change in LSAPs

FFR ED2 ED3 ED4 5y Tr 10y Tr 2y Tr 5.21 4.43 8.78 5.55 3.68 2.04 0.95 0.00 4.16 5.32 6.02 4.85 5.09 3.92 1.37 1.04 - 0.32 - 3.71 - 5.680.00 1.42
Introduction	Methods	Results	Persistence	Uncertainty	Conclusions
000000	0000	●oooo	000000	00000000	o
Estimated	Effects	of Funds	Rate, FG, a	and LSAPs	\$

change in fed funds rate change in fwd guidance change in LSAPs

FFR ED2 ED3 ED4 5y Tr 10y Tr 2y Tr 5.21 4.43 3.68 8.78 5.55 2.04 0.95 0.00 4.16 5.32 6.02 4.85 5.09 3.92 1.37 1.04 - 0.32 - 3.71 - 5.680.00 1.42

Introduction 000000	Methods 0000	Results ●oooo		Persistence		Uncertainty 0000000	y o	Conclusions o
Estimated	Effects	of Fu	nds F	Rate,	FG,	and L	.SAPs	S
		FFR	ED2	ED3	ED4	2y Tr	5y Tr	10y Tr

change in fed funds rate change in fwd guidance change in LSAPs 8.78 5.55 5.21 4.43 3.68 2.04 0.95 0.00 4.16 5.32 6.02 4.85 5.09 3.92 1.37 1.04 - 0.32 - 3.71 - 5.680.00 1.42

Introduction Me	thods oo	esults ∎oooo		Persistence		Uncertainty 00000000		Conclusions o
Estimated E	ffects of	Fun	ds F	Rate, I	FG, a	ind L	SAPs	;
	F	FR I	ED2	ED3	ED4	2y Tr	5y Tr	10y Tr
change in fed fu change in fwd gu	nds rate 8 uidance 0 s 0	8.78 §	5.55 4.16 1.42	5.21 5.32 1.37	4.43 6.02 1.04 -	3.68 4.85	2.04 5.09	0.95 3.92

• Unconventional monetary policy was effective

Introduction M 000000 0	lethods	Results ●0000		Persistence		Uncertainty		Conclusions O
Estimated I	Effects c	of Fur	nds F	Rate,	FG, a	and L	SAPs	\$
		FFR	ED2	ED3	ED4	2y Tr	5y Tr	10y Tr
change in fed fu change in fwd g change in LSAI	unds rate guidance Ps	8.78 0.00 0.00	5.55 4.16 1.42	5.21 5.32 1.37	4.43 6.02 1.04	3.68 4.85 -0.32	2.04 5.09 -3.71	0.95 3.92 -5.68

- Unconventional monetary policy was effective
- Both forward guidance and LSAPs were effective, with comparable magnitude to federal funds rate changes

Introduction I	Methods 0000	Results ●oooo		Persistence		Uncertainty		Conclusions o
Estimated	Effects of	of Fu	nds F	Rate,	FG, a	and L	SAP	\$
		FED				0.	5T r	10.7
change in fed f	iunds rate	ггк 8.78	ED2	ED3	ED4	∠y ir 3.68	5y Ir 2.04	0.95
change in fwd change in LSA	guidance Ps	0.00	4.16	5.32 1.37	6.02 1.04	4.85	5.09 -3.71	3.92 -5.68

- Unconventional monetary policy was effective
- Both forward guidance and LSAPs were effective, with comparable magnitude to federal funds rate changes
- Forward guidance and LSAPs had substantially different effects

Introduction M 000000	Methods	Results ●0000		Persistence		Uncertainty		Conclusions o
Estimated	Effects c	of Fur	nds F	Rate,	FG, a	and L	SAPs	5
		FFR	ED2	ED3	ED4	2y Tr	5y Tr	10y Tr
change in fed f change in fwd change in LSA	unds rate guidance Ps	8.78 0.00 0.00	5.55 4.16 1.42	5.21 5.32 1.37	4.43 6.02 1.04	3.68 4.85 -0.32	2.04 5.09 -3.71	0.95 <mark>3.92</mark> –5.68

- Unconventional monetary policy was effective
- Both forward guidance and LSAPs were effective, with comparable magnitude to federal funds rate changes
- Forward guidance and LSAPs had substantially different effects
- (And change in the 2-year Treasury yield is not a sufficient statistic for monetary policy announcements)











Forward Guidance and LSAP Factors, 2009–2015





Forward Guidance and LSAP Factors, 2009–2015



Introduction	Methods	Results	Persistence	Uncertainty	Conclusions
		00000			

Forward Guidance and LSAP Factors, 2009–2015





$$\Delta \mathbf{y}_t = \alpha + \beta \widetilde{\mathbf{F}}_t + \varepsilon_t$$



 $\Delta \mathbf{y}_t = \alpha + \beta \widetilde{\mathbf{F}}_t + \varepsilon_t$

	6-month	2-year	5-year	10-year	30-year
July 1991–Dec. 2008:					
change in fed funds rate	4.11***	3.70***	2.02***	0.82***	-0.15
[<i>t</i> -stat.]	[18.42]	[13.85]	[7.66]	[3.44]	[-0.70]
change in fwd guidance	2.87***	4.81***	4.59***	3.44***	2.22***
[<i>t</i> -stat.]	[5.71]	[5.75]	[5.58]	[5.34]	[4.82]
Jan. 2009–Oct. 2015: change in fwd guidance [t-stat.]	1.19*** [4.37]	5.14*** [6.33]	6.22*** [6.15]	3.06*** [4.88]	0.14 [0.45]
change in LSAPs	0.19	0.20	-2.92***	-6.49***	-5.77***
[t-stat.]	[1.08]	[0.59]	[-6.86]	[-7.35]	[-6.87]

Introduction	Methods	Results	Persistence	Uncertainty	Conclusions
000000	0000	○○●○○	000000	00000000	o
Effects of	Fwd	Guidance,	LSAPs on	Treasury	Yields

 $\Delta \mathbf{y}_t = \alpha + \beta \widetilde{\mathbf{F}}_t + \varepsilon_t$

	6-month	2-year	5-year	10-year	30-year
July 1991–Dec. 2008:					
change in fed funds rate [<i>t</i> -stat.]	4.11*** [18.42]	3.70*** [13.85]	2.02*** [7.66]	0.82*** [3.44]	-0.15 [-0.70]
change in fwd guidance [<i>t</i> -stat.]	<mark>2.87</mark> *** [5.71]	4.81 *** [5.75]	4.59*** [5.58]	<mark>3.44</mark> *** [5.34]	<mark>2.22</mark> *** [4.82]
Jan. 2009–Oct. 2015:					
change in fwd guidance	1.19***	5.14***	6.22***	3.06***	0.14
[t-stat.]	[4.37]	[6.33]	[6.15]	[4.88]	[0.45]
change in LSAPs	0.19	0.20	-2.92***	-6.49***	-5.77***
[t-stat.]	[1.08]	[0.59]	[-6.86]	[-7.35]	[-6.87]

Introduction	Methods	Results	Persistence	Uncertainty	Conclusions
000000	0000	○○●○○	000000	00000000	o
Effects of	Fwd	Guidance,	LSAPs on	Treasury	Yields

 $\Delta \mathbf{y}_t = \alpha + \beta \widetilde{\mathbf{F}}_t + \varepsilon_t$

	6-month	2-year	5-year	10-year	30-year
July 1991–Dec. 2008:					
change in fed funds rate	4.11***	3.70***	2.02***	0.82***	-0.15
[<i>t</i> -stat.]	[18.42]	[13.85]	[7.66]	[3.44]	[-0.70]
change in fwd guidance	2.87***	4.81***	4.59***	3.44***	2.22***
[<i>t</i> -stat.]	[5.71]	[5.75]	[5.58]	[5.34]	[4.82]
Jan. 2009–Oct. 2015: change in fwd guidance [t-stat.]	1.19*** [4.37]	<mark>5.14</mark> *** [6.33]	<mark>6.22</mark> *** [6.15]	<mark>3.06</mark> *** [4.88]	<mark>0.14</mark> [0.45]
change in LSAPs	<mark>0.19</mark>	<mark>0.20</mark>	- <mark>2.92</mark> ***	[*] - <mark>6.49</mark> ***	-5.77***
[t-stat.]	[1.08]	[0.59]	[-6.86]	[-7.35]	[-6.87]

 Introduction
 Methods
 Results
 Persistence
 Uncertainty
 Conclusions

 Effects on Stocks and Exchange Rates

Results from regressions

4

$$\Delta \log x_t = \alpha + \beta \widetilde{F}_t + \varepsilon_t$$

 Introduction
 Methods
 Results
 Persistence
 Uncertainty
 Conclusions

 Effects on Stocks and Exchange Rates

 Results from regressions

$$\Delta \log x_t = \alpha + \beta \widetilde{F}_t + \varepsilon_t$$

	S&P 500	\$/euro	\$/yen
July 1991–Dec. 2008: change in federal funds rate [<i>t</i> -stat.]	-0.32*** [-7.26]	-0.11** [-2.55]	-0.13*** [-2.91]
change in forward guidance [<i>t</i> -stat.]	-0.16*** [-3.31]	-0.16*** [-3.15]	-0.14*** [-2.91]
Jan. 2009–Oct. 2015: change in forward guidance [t-stat.]	- <mark>0.26</mark> *** [-2.79]	- <mark>0.37</mark> *** [-3.63]	- <mark>0.24</mark> ** [-2.50]
change in LSAPs [t-stat.]	0.12 [1.59]	0.21*** [2.72]	0.29*** [3.82]



Effects on Corporate Bond Yields and Spreads

Results from regressions

$$\Delta \mathbf{y}_t = \alpha + \beta \widetilde{\mathbf{F}}_t + \varepsilon_t$$

Effects on	Corporat	e Rond \	/ields and	Spreads	
Introduction	Methods	Results	Persistence	Uncertainty	Conclusions
000000	0000	0000●		00000000	o

Results from regressions

 $\Delta y_t = \alpha + \beta \widetilde{F}_t + \varepsilon_t$

	Corporate Yields		Spreads	
	Aaa	Baa	Aaa-10-yr.	Baa-10-yr.
July 1991–Dec. 2008:				
change in fed funds rate	0.32	0.41	-0.41	-0.32
[<i>t</i> -stat.]	[0.82]	[1.05]	[-1.08]	[-0.84]
change in fwd guidance	2.08***	1.96***	-0.60*	-0.72*
[<i>t</i> -stat.]	[4.41]	[4.26]	[-1.65]	[-1.95]
Jan. 2009–Oct. 2015:				
change in fwd guidance	0.48	-0.51	-1.64	-2.63**
[t-stat.]	[0.48]	[-0.51]	[-1.58]	[-2.42]
change in LSAPs	-4.51***	-5.25***	3.56***	2.81***
[t-stat.]	[-4.43]	[-4.72]	[3.64]	[3.09]



Interesting question whether one-day effects of forward guidance and LSAPs are persistent



Interesting question whether one-day effects of forward guidance and LSAPs are persistent

- "Slow-moving capital" view (Duffie 2010; Fleckenstein, Longstaff, Lustig 2014):
 - many examples in finance of pricing anomalies that fade over time (from minutes to months)
 - takes time for potential arbitrageurs to reallocate capital



Interesting question whether one-day effects of forward guidance and LSAPs are persistent

- "Slow-moving capital" view (Duffie 2010; Fleckenstein, Longstaff, Lustig 2014):
 - many examples in finance of pricing anomalies that fade over time (from minutes to months)
 - takes time for potential arbitrageurs to reallocate capital

Wright (2012) estimates effects of unconventional monetary policy have half-life of 2–3 months



Interesting question whether one-day effects of forward guidance and LSAPs are persistent

- "Slow-moving capital" view (Duffie 2010; Fleckenstein, Longstaff, Lustig 2014):
 - many examples in finance of pricing anomalies that fade over time (from minutes to months)
 - takes time for potential arbitrageurs to reallocate capital

Wright (2012) estimates effects of unconventional monetary policy have half-life of 2–3 months

Run daily regressions forecasting *h*-day change in yields:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{y}_{t+h} &= \alpha_h + \beta_h \mathbf{y}_t + \gamma_h \widetilde{F}_t + \varepsilon_t^{(h)} \\ \mathbf{y}_{t+h} - \mathbf{y}_t &= \gamma_h \widetilde{F}_t + \varepsilon_t^{(h)} \end{aligned}$$



Persistence of LSAP Effects (on 10y Treasury)





Persistence of LSAP Effects (on 10y Treasury)



Introduction	Methods	Results	Persistence	Uncertainty	Conclusions
000000	0000	00000	oo●ooo	0000000	o

March 18, 2009, FOMC "QE1" Announcement





Persistence of LSAP Effects on 10Y Tr., excl. 3/18/09





Persistence of Federal Funds Rate Effects (pre-2009)





Persistence of Forward Guidance Effects





How Do FG, LSAPs Affect Uncertainty?

Many have argued FOMC's forward guidance reduced uncertainty about future path of monetary policy (e.g., Bernanke 2013)



How Do FG, LSAPs Affect Uncertainty?

Many have argued FOMC's forward guidance reduced uncertainty about future path of monetary policy (e.g., Bernanke 2013)

LSAPs could also reinforce FOMC's commitment to a low interest rate path

Introduction Methods Results Persistence Uncertainty Conclusions

How Do FG, LSAPs Affect Uncertainty?

Many have argued FOMC's forward guidance reduced uncertainty about future path of monetary policy (e.g., Bernanke 2013)

- LSAPs could also reinforce FOMC's commitment to a low interest rate path
- Forward guidance and LSAPs could increase or decrease uncertainty about long-term bond yields

Introduction Methods Results Persistence Uncertainty Conclusions

How Do FG, LSAPs Affect Uncertainty?

Many have argued FOMC's forward guidance reduced uncertainty about future path of monetary policy (e.g., Bernanke 2013)

LSAPs could also reinforce FOMC's commitment to a low interest rate path

- Forward guidance and LSAPs could increase or decrease uncertainty about long-term bond yields
 - Are these policies adding or removing variance from long-term bond yields?



Measuring Monetary Policy Uncertainty

We can measure monetary policy uncertainty using options data:



We can measure monetary policy uncertainty using options data:





Measuring Monetary Policy Uncertainty

We can measure monetary policy uncertainty using options data:




Effect of Forward Guidance on Mon. Pol. Uncertainty





Effect of LSAPs on Monetary Policy Uncertainty





Effect of Forward Guidance on MOVE Index





Effect of LSAPs on MOVE Index





Effect of Forward Guidance on VIX





Effect of LSAPs on VIX



Introduction 000000	Methods 0000	Results	Persistence	Uncertainty 00000000	Conclusions
Conclusions					

Unconventional monetary policy was effective:

- about as effective as conventional monetary policy before ZLB
- suggests Fed does not need to raise its inflation target
- Both forward guidance and LSAPs were effective:
 - FG and LSAPs about equally effective for medium-term Treasury yields, stocks, and exchange rates
 - Forward guidance had larger effects on short-term Treasury yields
 - LSAPs had larger effects on long-term Treasury yields, corporate bond yields, and interest rate uncertainty
- These effects are largely persistent:
 - Effects of federal funds rate completely persistent
 - Effects of LSAPs completely persistent (excluding 3/18/09)
 - Effects of forward guidance less persistent, but attenuation not statistically significant, likely due to finite horizon of forward guidance



Principal Components Loadings

All Days

FOMC Announcement Windows

