CONTROVERSIES IN MINORITY VOTING

The Voting Rights Act in Perspective

BERNARD GROFMAN CHANDLER DAVIDSON Editors

The Brookings Institution Washington, D.C.

Copyright © 1992 by THE BROOKINGS INSTITUTION 1775 Massachusetts Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20036

All rights reserved

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Controversies in minority voting: the Voting Rights Act in perspective / Bernard Grofman and Chandler Davidson, editors.

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN 0-8157-1750-4

ISBN 0-8157-1751-2 (pbk.)

- 1. Afro-Americans-Suffrage. I. Grofman, Bernard.
- II. Davidson, Chandler.

KF4893.C66 1992

342.73'072-dc20

[347.30272]

92-7370 CIP

987654321

The paper in this publication meets the minimum requirements of the American National Standard for Information Sciences-Permanence of Paper for Printed Library Materials, ANSI Z39.48-1984

B THE BROOKINGS INSTITUTION

The Brookings Institution is an independent organization devoted to nonpartisan research, education, and publication in economics, government, foreign policy, and the social sciences generally. Its principal purposes are to aid in the development of sound public policies and to promote public understanding of issues of national importance.

The Institution was founded on December 8, 1927, to merge the activities of the Institute for Government Research, founded in 1916, the Institute of Economics, founded in 1922, and the Robert Brookings Graduate School of Economics and Government, founded in 1924.

The Board of Trustees is responsible for the general administration of the Institution, while the immediate direction of the policies, program, and staff is vested in the President, assisted by an advisory committee of the officers and staff. The by-laws of the Institution state: "It is the function of the Trustees to make possible the conduct of scientific research, and publication, under the most favorable conditions, and to safeguard the independence of the research staff in the pursuit of their studies and in the publication of the results of such studies. It is not a part of their function to determine, control, or influence the conduct of particular investigations or the conclusions reached."

The President bears final responsibility for the decision to publish a manuscript as a Brookings book. In reaching his judgment on the competence, accuracy, and objectivity of each study, the President is advised by the director of the appropriate research program and weighs the views of a panel of expert outside readers who report to him in confidence on the quality of the work. Publication of a work signifies that it is deemed a competent treatment worthy of public consideration but does not imply endorsement of conclusions or recommendations.

The Institution maintains its position of neutrality on issues of public policy in order to safeguard the intellectual freedom of the staff. Hence interpretations or conclusions in Brookings publications should be understood to be solely those of the authors and should not be attributed to the Institution, to its trustees, officers, or other staff members, or to the organizations that support its research.

Charles W. Duncan, Jr.

Walter Y. Elisha

Stephen Friedman

Board of Trustees

Louis W. Cabot Chairman

Ronald J. Arnault Elizabeth E. Bailey Rex I. Bates Yvonne Brathwaite Burke A. W. Clausen William T. Coleman, Jr. Kenneth W. Dam

Robert D. Haas Pamela C. Harriman Vernon E. Jordan, Ir. James A. Joseph Nannerl O. Keohane Martin J. Koldyke Thomas G. Labrecque D. Ronald Daniel Donald F. McHenry Bruce K. MacLaury

Maconda Brown O'Connor Samuel Pisar lames D. Robinson III David Rockefeller, Ir. Howard D. Samuel B. Francis Saul II Ralph S. Saul Donna E. Shalala Robert H. Smith John C. Whitehead Ezra K. Zikha

Honorary Trustees

Vincent M. Barnett, Ir. Barton M. Biggs Robert D. Calkins Edward W. Carter Frank T. Cary Lloyd N. Cutler Bruce B. Dayton Douglas Dillon Robert F. Erburu

Huntington Harris Andrew Heiskell Roger W. Heyns John E. Lockwood James T. Lynn William McC. Martin, Jr. Robert S. McNamara Mary Patterson McPherson Arjay Miller Donald S. Perkins

I. Woodward Redmond Charles W. Robinson Robert V. Roosa Henry B. Schacht Gerard C. Smith Robert Brookings Smith Morris Tanenbaum Phyllis A. Wallace James D. Wolfensohn

Editors' Introduction

BERNARD GROFMAN & CHANDLER DAVIDSON

THE VOTING RIGHTS ACT OF 1965 is widely recognized as one of the most successful pieces of American legislation in the twentieth century. The purpose of the present volume is to provide a twenty-five year perspective on the act: its aims, its accomplishments, and what have been claimed to be its unintended consequences. To that end we have asked a number of leading scholars with differing views to write essays on the act's history, its implementation by the courts and the Department of Justice, and the broader questions involved in defining the idea of voting rights.

The book is intended to provide the reader with the information needed to place the Voting Rights Act in historical perspective, but with a focus on the voting rights issues and controversies that are of greatest concern today. We see these controversies as involving a combination of historical, normative, and legal-technical issues. Perhaps the key dispute is over whether the act and related case law have evolved into a mechanism for enforcing "affirmative action" quotas. In particular, to what extent has the proviso included in the 1982 amendments denying a right to proportional representation been violated in cases such as *Thornburg v. Gingles* (1986)? Are the standards of vote dilution now so loose that they permit almost unlimited expansion to cover practices the framers of the act in 1965 would not have considered as violating its provisions?

A related dispute concerns whether the act has now outlived its usefulness. Some who argue that it has claim that voting along racial lines—at least for the majority of whites—is largely a thing of the past. Other critics believe that the current manner of the act's enforcement harms minority political interests, even as it increases the number of minority officeholders, by unduly concentrating minorities in districts where they become a majority and thus denying them a wider influence. Another concern is whether the act, by forcing race-conscious districting, keeps racial issues unnecessarily prominent in American politics, while driving a wedge between minorities and their traditional liberal white allies.

In addition to debate about normative and policy questions, there has

been considerable dispute over the legal standards that ought to govern voting rights cases, especially with respect to the operationalization of the three prongs of the Gingles test for vote dilution under section 2 of the act. Another controversy concerns Justice Department enforcement of the act. Has enforcement been limited and inadequate, as some critics have charged? Has it been guided by a vindictive and distrustful view of state and local officials, as others have claimed? Has enforcement been tilted so as to favor the political interests of the administration in power, as still others have charged? Or, as its supporters claim, has the department done a creditable job of enforcement?

The mere listing of a sample of these issues is sufficient to serve notice that, in spite of the plaudits the act has received in many quarters, it has generated a sharply contested body of law and normative theory around which swirl many controversies that are not easily resolved. Rather than attempt a comprehensive inventory or offer an editorial perspective on major issues, we have chosen to let our authors speak for themselves. In so doing, we believe they can convey to readers a good sense of the range of issues, positions, and intensity of feelings on the important controversies that will be shaping the policy debate on voting rights in the 1990s.

The chapters are organized into four sections. The first contains a historical overview of voting rights in the United States, from the first Reconstruction to the present. It contains a chapter by Chandler Davidson describing the history of the Voting Rights Act of 1965, a chapter by Drew Days focusing on section 5 of the act, a chapter by Laughlin McDonald focusing on section 2 of the act, and a chapter by Timothy O'Rourke with an alternative, more critical view of the act and its recent implementation.

The second section covers the broader ramifications of the Voting Rights Act in American politics and race relations. It contains a chapter by Edward Carmines and Robert Huckfeldt on race and the dilemma of liberal politics, a chapter by Morgan Kousser that seeks to explain the relative success of the second Reconstruction as compared to the first, and a chapter by Hugh Davis Graham that views the Voting Rights Act in the context of recent social regulation.

The third section includes two essays that focus on the role of lawyers, expert witnesses, and minority advocacy groups in implementing voting rights. Bernard Grofman looks at the role of expert witnesses in the evolution of voting rights case law and in deciding particular controversies over the meaning and operationalization of key terms such as "racial

bloc voting." Gregory Caldeira looks at what he calls the voting rights bar, the civil rights advocacy groups, attorneys, and expert witnesses who have been involved in voting rights litigation.

The fourth section discusses the Voting Rights Act and the quest for a color-blind society. It opens with an essay by Bruce Cain that examines whether implementation of the act violates either color-blind or majoritarian norms of American democracy. The section also contains brief comments on some of the issues raised in Cain's essay from scholars representing different perspectives: Luis Fraga, Lani Guinier, Carol Swain, and James Turner.

The last essay in the volume is a postscript by the editors that discusses the special nature of voting rights controversies and presents our thoughts about some of the issues that must be resolved if the goal of a colorblind society is to be achieved.

We hope this volume will both frame and help clarify the debate over the issues of minority voting rights and the concept of effective representation.