Psych 56L/ Ling 51: Acquisition of Language


Lecture 4
Biological Bases of Language II


Announcements

Be working on HW1 (due 1/26/12)

Be working on bio bases review questions

Check out the reference material on the webpage





Human Speech Apparatus: Pros and Cons


Larynx: most speech-specific feature of the human vocal tract. Compared to other mammals, human larynx is very low.

The good: Low larynx helps produce a wider variety of speech sounds.

The bad: Humans are more likely to get food caught in the trachea and choke.

Lower mouth shape: accommodate the lower larynx The good: Help support lower larynx.

The bad: Lead to overcrowded teeth and impacted wisdom teeth.



Functional Architecture


Functional architecture: how the brain is organized to do what it does (that is, how it is organized to accomplish some function)

Neurolinguistics: study of the brain with relation to language functioning. One big question: is there a separate chunk of brain (or dedicated brain activity = a functional "organ") specifically for language?



A brain without language: Insights during a stroke

http://www.ted.com/talks/jill_bolte_taylor_s_powerful_stroke_of_insight.html (about 10:30 through 13:22 of 18:41 minute video)



Methods of Neurolinguistic Investigation

Lesion studies: correlate missing bits of brain (lesions) with missing bits of psychological functioning.

One very interesting kind of missing brain bit: split or damaged corpus callosum, found in split brain patients



Methods of Neurolinguistic Investigation


 Contralateral connections in the 1860s: investigators apply electric currents to brains of anesthetized animals and made an interesting discovery.



Note on connections:


Contralateral: across lpsalateral: same side

Hemispheres & Visual Field Left Visual Field Right Visual Field Right Brain corpus callosum




Methods of Neurolinguistic Investigation

Dichotic listening tasks: use the fact that contralateral connections from the ears to the brain are stronger than ipsalateral connections. Experimenters present two tasks at the same time, one to each ear, and ask subjects which one is perceived.



If they say the left ear's stimulus, then the right side of the brain processes that signal. If they say the right ear's stimulus, then the left side of the brain processes that signal.



Methods of Neurolinguistic Investigation

ERPs: Event-related brain potentials, gauged via electrode caps.

The location of ERPs associated with different mental activities is taken as a clue to the area of the brain responsible for those activities



Good: non-invasive, relatively undemanding on the subject, provide precise timing on brain events

Bad: poor information on exact location of ERP since just monitoring the scalp

Methods of Neurolinguistic Investigation

Brain-imaging techniques: gauge what part of the brain is active as subjects perform certain tasks

PET scans: Positron emission topography scans

- subjects inhale low-level radioactive gas or injected with glucose tagged with radioactive substance
- experimenters can see which parts of the brain are using more glucose (requiring the most energy)

http://www.learner.org/vod/vod_window.html?pid=1615 http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=5KXIDUo18aA [Language Processing in the Brain: 6:26 long]

Methods of Neurolinguistic Investigation

Brain-imaging techniques: gauge what part of the brain is active as subjects perform certain tasks

fMRI scans: functional magnetic resonance imaging

- subjects have to be very still inside MRI machine, which is expensive to operate
- experimenters can see which parts of the brain are getting more blood flow or consuming more oxygen

Methods of Neurolinguistic Investigation

Brain-imaging techniques: gauge what part of the brain is active as subjects perform certain tasks

MEG: Magnetoencephalography

- subjects have to be very still
- experimenters can see which parts of the brain are active




Video of word recognition in brain (10 sec long): http://www.mrc-cbu.cam.ac.uk/facilities/meg/

Methods of Neurolinguistic Investigation

Brain-imaging techniques: gauge what part of the brain is active as subjects perform certain tasks

Optical Topography: Near-infrared spectroscopy (NIRS)

- transmission of light through the tissues of the brain is affected by hemoglobin concentration changes, which can be detected



Where is language located? Left hemisphere evidence


From brain injury and aphasia (when language is severely impaired):

Paul Broca's lesion studies

- "Tan", who had left hemisphere lesion and loss of language abilities



Functional asymmetry: damage to the left hemisphere seems to cause language problems (whether it is spoken or signed) while damage to the right hemisphere seems to cause non-linguistic visual-spatial information processing problems.



Broca's Aphasia

Patients have trouble producing speech, mostly content words (nouns and verbs) with few grammatical morphemes

"Yes... ah... Monday... er... Dad and Peter H... [his own name], and Dad... er... hospital... and... ah... Wednesday... Wednesday, nine o'clock..."

Video of sample speech from a Broca's aphasic: http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=f2liMEbMnPM

http://www.learner.org/vod/vod_window.html?pid=1574 [7:40 long] (especially 2:43-6:16)

Broca's Aphasia

Broca's aphasics & comprehension:

Relatively good comprehension of some sentences: Can understand sentences like these:

The dog bit the woman.

The apple that the boy is eating is red.




 \dots but not these (because their meaning can't be inferred from the meaning of the nouns and verbs alone):

The car is pushed by the truck.

The girl whom the boy is pushing is tall.

Wernicke's Aphasia

- Patients with posterior lesions in the left hemisphere
- Speech is fluent
- But comprehension is impaired



Wernicke's Aphasia

Patients have speech that is "syntactically full but semantically empty"


"I feel very well. My hearing, writing been doing well. Things that I couldn't hear from. In other words, I used to be able to work cigarettes I didn't know how..."

Videos of sample speech from Wernicke's aphasics: http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=B-LD5jzXpLE http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=aVhYN7NTIKU

Comprehension is very low.


Also, see http://www.learner.org/vod/vod_window.html?pid=1574 from about 6:20 through 7:40.

Where is language located?




Where is language located? Left hemisphere evidence

From split-brain patients (with severed corpus callosum - no communication between hemispheres)





Where is language located? Left hemisphere evidence


From split-brain patients (with severed corpus callosum - no communication between hemispheres)





Can't say what they saw on the left side, but can draw with their left hand.















Typical Split Brain Patient

- Left Brain:
 - Normal language use
 - No easily detectable deficits.
- Right Brain:
 - Some rudimentary word recognition.



Evidence for Left Hemisphere Lateralization from American Sign Language

- Deaf Signers with Left Hemisphere Damage:
 - Language Deficit. Aphasic.
- Deaf Signers with Right Hemisphere Damage:
 - Visuo-Spatial Deficits.
 - No easily detectable language deficits.
- Left Hemisphere implicated in language

Poizner, Klima, & Bellugi (1987)

Hickok et al. 1998: ASL lateralization evidence

Left hemisphere damage led to language damage while right hemisphere damage does not

| • | • | | | | | | _ | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|---|-----|--------|----|----|----|---|---|--------------------------|---|---|---|----|----|-------------------------|-----|----|---|---|----|----|
| | A | | Normal | | | | | В | Right-hemisphere damaged | | | | | C | Left-hemisphere damaged | | | | | | |
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4. | 5 | 6 | 7 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 1 | 2 | 3 | A | 5 | 5 | 7 |
| Meladic line | _ | 1 | - | - | -1 | - | • | - | + | 1 | - | - | - | ٠ | • | 1 | 4 | • | 4 | - | ٠ |
| Phrase length | _ | 1 | 1 | | 4 | -1 | ٠ | 4 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 1 | -1 | ٠ | ٠ | 1 | | | | ٠ | ٠ |
| Articulatory agility | L | 1 | 4 | | | | | L | | 4 | , | 1 | 1 | ٠ | L | | - | | 1 | •• | •• |
| Grammatical form | _ | -1- | 4 | | - | | ٠ | _ | | 1 | | | | ٠ | | oj. | | | | | |
| Paraphasia in running sign | _ | 1 | -7 | | , | | | L | - | 1 | , | 1 | , | • | _ | | •• | ٠ | - | 1 | - |
| Sign finding | L | 1 | 1 | | - | ì | _ | L | - | 1 | ٠ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | ٠ | | ٠ | | | ۰ |
| Sign comprehension | L | 1 | 4 | - | 1 | | ٠ | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 | | •• | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Fig. 1 Section does not consider a relative to the control of the

Why the left hemisphere?

Left hemisphere may process information more analytically.

Trained musicians process music in the left hemisphere. Normal (untrained) people process it on the right.



Left hemisphere may be better at executing well-practiced routines, while right is better at responding to novel stimuli.

Language, for adults, is a well-practiced routine.

Where is language located? Not-just-left hemisphere evidence

Sometimes, aphasia doesn't result when there is left hemisphere damage.

Sometimes, aphasia results when there is right hemisphere damage.

In some people (usually left-handed people), language is controlled by the right hemisphere.



Where is language located? Not-just-left hemisphere evidence

Right hemisphere contributions to language: tone contour, emotional tone, jokes, sarcasm, figurative language interpretation, following indirect requests (much of this falls under pragmatics)

Evidence: right hemisphere lesion patients

Right hemisphere activated by semantic processing, while left hemisphere activated primarily by syntactic

processing

Evidence: ERP studies

Evidence: late language learners who aren't as proficient with syntax, and have language located primarily in right

hemisphere

How does a left hemisphere specialization for language develop?

Equipotentiality hypothesis: left and right hemispheres have equal potential at birth

Prediction: dichotic listening and brain injury in children show less specialization for language than adults

Invariance hypothesis: left hemisphere specialization available at hirth

Prediction: dichotic listening and brain injury data from children should look like the corresponding data from adults

How does a left hemisphere specialization for language develop?

fMRI studies: newborns and 3-month-old infants show greater lefthemisphere than right-hemisphere activation in response to speech stimuli (as do adults)

- But also greater left-hemisphere activity in response to nonspeech sounds, suggesting general bias to process sounds in left hemisphere (older children [10-month-olds] and adults process non-speech sounds with right hemisphere)



How does a left hemisphere specialization for language develop?

Summary from experimental studies:

Language processing appears to be specialized to the left hemisphere as early as researchers can test it.

But the infant brain is not the same as the adult brain - specialization/lateralization continues to increase as the brain matures.

Recap

Researchers interested in the functional architecture of the brain with respect to language are interested in how the brain is organized to accomplish the function of language.

Broca's and Wernicke's aphasics, as well as split brain patients, indicate that certain areas of the brain seem to be integral for processing and producing language.

Many aspects of language seem to be lateralized in the left hemisphere on many people (such as syntax), though some language processing may be done in the right hemisphere (such as semantics/lexical meaning).

Questions?



You should be able to do all of the questions on HW1 and up through question 22 on the bio bases review questions.