Psych 56L/ Ling 51: Acquisition of Language

Lecture 3
Biological Bases of Language I

Announcements

Review questions for biological bases of languages available

Be working on HW1 (due 1/26/12)

Language as a Human Universal

Language as a Human Instinct

Fish pretty much always swim.

Birds pretty much always fly.

Humans pretty much always…talk.
More than culture

Language is more than simply a cultural habit that one generation copies from previous ones.

If there is no language model to learn from, humans will spontaneously create language.

Pidgins & creoles

the case of Nicaraguan Sign Language

Pidgins

Pidgin: language created by adults from different language backgrounds who need to communicate with each other

Example:

Hawaiian Pidgin English: created by immigrant workers from Japan, Korea, and the Philippines who worked for English speakers

Ifu laik meiki, mo beta make time, mani no kaen hapai. If like make, more better die time, money no can carry.

“If you want to build (a temple), you should do it before you die - you can’t take it with you!”

(More than 100 pidgin languages currently in use)

Creoles

Pidgins tend to be structurally simple (often just nouns and verbs).

However, when children born into a community where a pidgin is the only language acquire that pidgin as their native language, they create a creole.

Creoles are grammatically more complex, containing structures that are not in the pidgin language the children had as a model such as consistent word order, tense marking, and multi-clause sentences. Creoles often share the same features.


Put simply: children add something that wasn’t already there!

Derek Bickerton (Scientific American, July 1983)
Pidgins & Creoles

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=8hQbdrlPZfU
[content: 0:42 to about 4:50 long]

Pidgins & creoles, detailed look at formation of creole from pidgin

What creoles tell us

(1) The existence of language in a community does not depend on someone importing a language for a community to learn. (Vocabulary may be borrowed, grammar seems not to be.)

(2) When children acquire language, they sometimes add something extra, which is sometimes thought to be universal to human languages and part of children's innate endowment for language.

(3) Creoles tend to share the same features - which suggests human minds may tend to construct languages the same way.

From pidgin to creole:
Nicaraguan Sign Language

In 1978, the Nicaraguan government opened the nation's first public schools for the deaf. The deaf children who entered had no common sign language, but did have their own individual home sign systems.

Example English home signer:
http://goldin-meadow-lab.uchicago.edu/Images/shovel.mov

Once the children were in contact with each other, a new common sign language emerged: Nicaraguan Sign Language.

http://www.pbs.org/wgbh/evolution/library/07/2/t_072_04.html
From pidgin to creole: Nicaraguan Sign Language

Ann Senghas (Senghas & Coppola 2001) studied the language of children who arrived to the school at a young age vs. children who arrived when they were older.

Language of younger children: structurally complex (more like creole)

Language of older children: structurally simpler (more like pidgin)

Inflection:
He likes me.
(as opposed to “he like me”)

Agreement:
He is smiling.
(as opposed to “he are smiling”)

Use of spatial modification: if two signs are made in the same spatial location, it indicates that one sign modifies the other (ex: “tall” in same location as “king” = “tall king”)

Language of younger children: more spatial modification
(the younger they were, the more they used it)

Language of older children: less spatial modification
From pidgin to creole: Nicaraguan Sign Language

Implication: (young) children are the driving force of language creation here; they are the innovators and the ones who retain the more complex structures that result from these innovations.

Language Bioprogram Hypothesis

Proposed by Derek Bickerton: the capacity for language creation seen in creolization and the development of NSL is the same capacity that underlies language acquisition.

Humans have an innate core knowledge about the structural properties human languages have. (domain-specific knowledge)

In accord with the generativist approach to language acquisition.

The Critical Period Hypothesis

But that knowledge may not be language-specific! It could be statistical learning or pattern analysis abilities. (domain-general knowledge)


Elizabeth Bates
Critical & sensitive periods

"critical period for language" = biologically determined period during which language acquisition must occur in order for language to be learned fully and correctly.

Other biologically determined deadlines:
- imprinting: chicks & ducklings follow first thing they see forever (it’s likely their mommy)
- visual cells in humans: if cells for both eyes don’t receive visual input during the first year or so of life, they lose the ability to respond to visual input

"sensitive period": biologically determined period during which learning must occur for development to happen correctly, but development can still occur partially after this period.

Critical & sensitive periods

How do we test for a critical/sensitive period for language acquisition?

Ideal experiment: deprive children of all linguistic input during the purported critical period and see how language development occurs.

Problem: ideal experiment isn’t so ideal ethically or logistically.

Some historical cases that have unintentionally provided lack of linguistic input to children:
- "wild children": like Victor of Aveyron

Problem: the lack of language may be due to other reasons.
Critical & sensitive periods

How do we test for a critical/sensitive period for language acquisition?

One success story for lack of linguistic input with a young child:
Isabelle

1930s: 6-year-old Isabelle discovered hidden away in a dark room with a deaf-mute mother as her only contact.

She was taught to speak and by age 8, appeared to be normal. Potential implication: Isabelle discovered before critical period was over.

Critical & sensitive periods

A more thorough study: Genie

1970s: 13-year-old Genie brought by her mother to social services after escaping mentally ill father; until mother’s escape, had no language input (and very horrific living conditions)

By age 17, she had a 5-year-old’s vocabulary, and could express meanings by combining words together.

However… syntactic skills lagged far behind - deficient in both production and comprehension.

"Mama wash hair in sink."  "Like go ride yellow school bus."
"At school scratch face."  "Father take piece wood. Hit. Cry."
"I want Curtiss play piano."  "Applesauce buy store"
"Man motorcycle have."  "Father hit Genie cry long time ago."

Dichotic listening tasks showed language was a right-hemisphere activity for her (while it’s a left-hemisphere activity for most adults).
### Critical & Sensitive Periods

**How do we test for a critical/sensitive period for language acquisition?**

A more thorough study: Genie

Potential Implication: Genie discovered after critical period was over.

However, Genie may have had other cognitive disabilities...

---

### Critical & Sensitive Periods

**How do we test for a critical/sensitive period for language acquisition?**

Lenneberg (1967): “the only safe conclusions to be drawn from the multitude of reports is life in dark closets, wolves’ dens, forests, or sadistic parents’ backyards is not conducive to good health or normal development.”

---

### Critical & Sensitive Periods

**How do we test for a critical/sensitive period for language acquisition?**

Another study: Chelsea (Curtiss 1988)

- **Family background**: A partially deaf woman incorrectly diagnosed as ‘retarded’. From a loving home.
- **Discovered** at age 31, and fitted with hearing aids
- **Outcome**: Learned a large vocabulary, but syntax and morphology worse than Genie.

---

### Critical & Sensitive Periods

**How do we test for a critical/sensitive period for language acquisition?**

Another study: Chelsea (Curtiss 1988)

Sample speech from Chelsea:

1. The small a the hat
2. Orange Tim car in
3. I Wanda be drive come
4. Breakfast eating girl
5. They are is car in the Tim
Critical & sensitive periods

How do we test for a critical/sensitive period for language acquisition?

Late acquisition of sign language (ASL): deaf-of-hearing children whose parents don’t know sign language. Children are eventually exposed to sign language when they encounter other deaf children.

Good: individuals have normal early childhood experience, except for lack of language input.

Critical & sensitive periods

How do we test for a critical/sensitive period for language acquisition?

If critical or sensitive period is true, children who learn from infancy should be better than children who learned later - this is what Newport (1990) found. Children who were 4-6 when first exposed were far superior in their sign language ability to children who were exposed after age 12.

Critical & sensitive periods

How do we test for a critical/sensitive period for language acquisition?

Look at second language learning. Why? Children who learn a second language when they are young often become indistinguishable from their native-born peers.

Critical & sensitive periods

How do we test for a critical/sensitive period for language acquisition?

Late acquisition of sign language (ASL): deaf-of-hearing children whose parents don’t know sign language. Children are eventually exposed to sign language when they encounter other deaf children.

Also important: not just about how long sign language speakers had known the language. Speakers who had been signing for more than 30 years showed this same difference: those exposed younger were far superior in their language skills to those exposed when they were older.
Critical & sensitive periods

How do we test for a critical/sensitive period for language acquisition?

Testing age differences in second language acquisition:

- Oyama (1976): testing Italian immigrants learning English
  age of arrival was better predictor of accent than how many years the immigrant had been speaking English

- Oyama (1978): age of arrival was better predictor of comprehension than number of years speaking the language
  (not just about motor skill learning ability)

Testing age differences in second language acquisition:


Heard recorded voices speaking sentences, and had to judge whether they were correct or not.
  “The farmer bought two pig at the market.”
  “Tom is reading book in bathtub.”

Second-language proficiency dependent on age of initial language exposure (even with same number of years of exposure total)

**Morphology:** e.g. verb agreement in production

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age of Arrived</th>
<th>(birth on)</th>
<th>(4-6 yrs on)</th>
<th>(12 yrs on)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Native</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>85%</td>
<td>70%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tom is/*are reading book in bathtub.
Second-language proficiency dependent on age of initial language exposure – but not all aspects are dependent

Basic word order: SVO
Subject Verb Object
Ex: “Penguins like fish.”
As opposed to
“Fish penguins like” (Object Subject Verb)

Before and after the critical/sensitive period (sometimes called “maturation”)

During Maturation
Decline in ability with maturation.

After Maturation
No relationship between Age of Arrival and Test Score

Some Evidence for Critical/Sensitive Period

Johnson & Newport also found that performance was not correlated with:

- Formal instruction in English
- Amount of initial exposure to English
- Reported motivation to learn English
- Self-consciousness in English
- Identification with American culture

Sum Up: Critical/Sensitive Period

- Language learning is comparatively effortless before puberty, extremely effortful after
- Applies to both first and second language learning
- Applies to spoken and signed languages
- Critical/sensitive periods similar to other biologically-programmed abilities in humans and other species
Critical vs. sensitive, revisited

If there is a truly a critical period of language acquisition, people learning language after this period should not succeed very well at all while people within the critical period should do very well.

Expectation: discontinuous function of performance

Critical vs. sensitive, revisited

However, most of the evidence we’ve seen (including the one below) suggests that there is a smoother drop-off. (support for sensitive period)

Hakuta, Bialystok, & Wiley 2003

So why are younger children better?

"Less is more" hypothesis: Newport 1991

Children can remember less than adults (and have other cognitive limitations, like less attention). Perhaps language is actually easier to figure out if the input is limited to smaller chunks. Adults remember more and can store longer chunks, which makes their analytical task harder.


So why are younger children better?

Some experimental support for the utility of “Less is more” when learning a foreign language as an adult: Chin & Kersten (2010)

Adults learning French over two one-hour sessions - full sentences vs. small phrases that incrementally increased length to full sentences (to simulate children’s steadily expanding processing abilities)

Adults learning incrementally outperformed adults learning from full sentences on language proficiency tests of vocabulary and grammar.
Recap

Evidence from pidgins & creoles, homesign, and Nicaraguan Sign Language suggest that language is something that human children can create even in the absence of language input.

The Language Biogram Hypothesis suggests that this ability is due to children’s innate domain-specific knowledge about language.

There also appears to be a period during which language is acquired most easily - whether this is a critical period or sensitive period may vary depending on what specific linguistic knowledge we look at.

The “Less is more” hypothesis is one idea for why children’s minds might be more suited to language learning than adults’ minds.

Questions?

You should be able to answer up through question 13 of the bio bases review sheet, and up through question 4 on HW1.