What sentences mean
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   Visiting relatives
   (Relatives visiting us)
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   Visiting relatives
   (We visiting our relatives)

Passives

Passives are tricky because the subject of the sentence is the “undergoer” of the action (rather than the “doer” as it is in active sentences).

Active sentence:
Sarah saved Tobey.
Subject Verb Object

Passive equivalent:
Tobey was saved by Sarah.
Subject Verb

semantically “light” verb
doer “by” phrase
Passives
Children usually start producing passives when they are three years old.

Some example passives & the ages when they were produced:
“Do you think the flower’s supposed to be picked by somebody?” (2;10)
“So it can’t be cleaned?” (3;2)
“I don’t want the bird to get eated.” (3;7)
“She brought her inside so she won’t get all stinked up by the skunk.” (4;1)

Passives
In fact, children seem to over-produce passives, applying a “passive” rule to verbs that (some) adults wouldn’t make passive.

Passive rule = be/get + VERB + en/ed

Some example over-produced passives:
“…they won’t get staled.” (3;6)
“The tiger will come and eat David and then he will be died.” (4;0)
“I want these pancakes to be sugared.” (4;2)
“Why is the laundry place stayed open all night?” (4;3)

Passives
Still, despite producing passives spontaneously, children seem to have persistent trouble understanding passive sentences.

Standard comprehension task:
Which of these pictures shows “The dog is bitten by the cat”?

Children under age five usually do very poorly on these tasks, getting less than 50% right (worse than guessing)! Part of the trouble is that they can’t use world knowledge to help figure it out.

Passives
Eventually, children learn to notice the more subtle signals that of a passive sentence – the light verb, the participle (-en/-ed) ending, and sometimes the “doer” by phrase.

Standard comprehension task:
Which of these pictures shows “The dog is bitten by the cat”?

But it does take awhile…
Silent Things
Some sentences allow other sentences inside of them:

We know something.
We know children eventually acquire language.
Here, the sentence “children eventually acquire language” acts like the direct object of the verb know.

Silent Things
Sometimes, certain verbs will allow partial or incomplete sentences to follow them:

The girl tried to save her brother.
The king hopes to win the game.
The goblins wanted to keep the boy.
The dwarf decided to help the girl.

Silent Things
Sometimes, certain verbs will allow partial or incomplete sentences to follow them:

The girl tried to save her brother.
The king hopes to win the game.
The goblins wanted to keep the boy.
The dwarf decided to help the girl.

Implied Subject
the girl
the king
the goblins
the dwarf

The subject of the embedded clause (the sentence following the main verb) is implied, not overtly stated.

More complicated silent things
Sometimes there is more than one potential noun phrase that could act as the implied subject of the embedded clause:

Jareth told Hoggle to give the peach to Sarah.
Who’s giving the peach – Jareth or Hoggle?
Hoggle promised Jareth that he would.
Who would – Jareth or Hoggle?
Sometimes there is more than one potential noun phrase that could act as the implied subject of the embedded clause:

Jareth told Hoggle to give the peach to Sarah.

Who’s giving the peach—Jareth or Hoggle?
Adults say: Hoggle (Object of main clause)

Hoggle promised Jareth that he would.

Who would—Jareth or Hoggle?
Adults say: Hoggle (Subject of main clause)

How do we test what kids think?

Carol Chomsky (1969): testing 5 to 10-year-old children

After making sure children understood the meaning of promise, she asked them to act out sentences like the following:

“Bozo tells Donald to hop up and down. Make him do it.”
Who’s hopping? Adults: Donald
Who’s hopping? Kids: Donald

“Bozo promises Donald to hop up and down. Make him do it.”
Who’s hopping? Adults: Bozo
Who’s hopping? Kids: Donald

Initial child strategy: Pick nearest potential subject.
More complicated silent things
Sentences that have both an implied subject and implied object.

The girl is \textit{eager} to see .

Who/what is doing the seeing (subject of see)?
The girl.

Who/what is being seen (object of see)?
Something unspecified.

This sentence means approximately something like
“The girl is eager to see (something).”
More complicated silent things
Sentences that have both an implied subject and implied object.

The girl is easy to see.

Who/what is doing the seeing (subject of see)? Someone not mentioned.

Who/what is being seen (object of see)? The girl.

This sentence means the same thing as
“It is easy (for someone) to see the girl.”
Who/what is being seen (object of see)? The girl.
More complicated silent things
Sentences that have both an implied subject and implied object.

The girl is easy to see.

How can we tell what children’s interpretations are for these kinds of sentences?

Carol Chomsky (1969)

"Is the doll easy to see?"

Adults say yes, since the doll is in plain sight. What do children say?

Some say yes:
Ann C. (8;8): "Easy"
Experimenter: "Could you make her hard to see?"
Ann C.: "In the dark."

However, more than a third say no.
Eric (5;2): "Hard to see."
Experimenter: "Will you make her easy to see?"
Eric: "Okay." (He removes the blindfold.)
More complicated silent things
Carol Chomsky (1969)

“Is the doll easy to see?”

Child misinterpretation:
“Is the doll easy to see?”

(Mis)interpretation: “Is it easy for the doll to see (something)?”

Children probably need more exposure to these kinds of constructions (is easy to, is hard to, ...) in order to learn the correct interpretation.

Pronouns

Pronouns are energy-saving devices that allow us to refer to someone or something (whose identity we know) without using a name (like “Sarah” or “Jareth”) or other noun phrase (like “the girl” or “a very impressive goblin king”).

Sarah though that she could save her brother.

Jareth was surprised the girl summoned him, and resolved to show her he was a very impressive goblin king.

Pronouns

Young children seem to know how to use pronouns -- they like to use them if a preceding noun has already established what they refer to.

Imitation task results with 2 ½ and 3-year-old children (Lust 1981):

Experimenter says a sentence with two names:
“Because Sam was thirsty, Sam drank some soda.”

Child replaces second name with a pronoun:
“Because Sam was thirsty, he drank some soda.”
Pronouns

Young children seem to know how to use pronouns—they like to use them if a preceding noun has already established what they refer to.

Imitation task results with 2½ and 3-year-old children (Lust 1981):

Experimenter says a sentence with a pronoun before a name: “Because he was thirsty, Sam drank some soda.”

Child replaces name and pronoun so name comes first: “Because Sam was thirsty, he drank some soda.”

Trickier Pronouns

Reflexive pronouns have different forms than “plain” pronouns

- myself me, I
- herself she, her
- yourself you, it
- himself he, him
- ourselves we, us
- themselves they, them

Trickier Pronouns

Reflexive pronouns behave differently than “plain” pronouns: they are interpreted differently

Jareth thought that Hoggle tricked himself. ≠ Jareth thought that Hoggle tricked Hoggle.

Jareth thought that Hoggle tricked him. ≠ Jareth thought that Hoggle tricked Jareth.
Trickier Pronouns

Reflexive pronouns behave differently than “plain” pronouns: they are interpreted differently.

Jareth thought that Hoggle tricked himself.  
must refer to NP in same clause

Jareth thought that Hoggle tricked him.  
must not refer to NP in same clause, but can refer to NP in different clause

Rule: Reflexive pronouns must refer to a noun phrase inside the same clause while regular pronouns must not.

How can we test when children learn this distinction?

Act-Out Task:

“Donald thinks that Mickey Mouse scratched himself. Show me what Mickey did.”

“Donald thinks that Mickey Mouse scratched him. Show me what Mickey did.”

Comprehension Task (Chien & Wexler 1990):

“Here’s a picture of Mama Bear and Goldilocks.”

“Is Mama Bear touching her?”

Children who understand plain pronouns will answer

YES

or

NO
Trickier Pronouns

How can we test when children learn this distinction?

Comprehension Task (Chien & Wexler 1990):
“Here’s a picture of Mama Bear and Goldilocks.”

“Is Mama Bear touching herself?”

Children who understand reflexive pronouns will answer
NO ❌ YES ✔

or

Children between the ages of 3 and 5 years old often do fairly well on the interpretation of reflexive pronouns.

“Here’s a picture of Mama Bear and Goldilocks.”

“Is Mama Bear touching herself?”

NO ❌ YES ✔

Trickier Pronouns

However, these same children seem to have trouble with plain pronouns – they’ll interpret them as reflexive.

“Here’s a picture of Mama Bear and Goldilocks.”

“Is Mama Bear touching her?”

NO ❌ YES ✔

Trickier Pronouns

Interestingly, even though children mistakenly interpret plain pronouns as reflexive, they don’t seem to make this mistake in their own productions.

Bloom et al. (1991): Looking at 100,000 spontaneous utterances of three children, beginning at age 2.

me and myself were used correctly 95% of the time.

This suggests that children know the distinction between some reflexive and plain pronouns (as evidenced in their own productions), but they have trouble making this distinction for the pronouns tested in the experiments. Perhaps the experiments aren’t good at really getting at children’s knowledge? (Conroy et al 2009: previous results due to experimental artifact)
Trickier Pronouns
Evidence for incomplete knowledge? Children do seem to have trouble using plain pronouns in ways that make it easy to understand what these pronouns refer to.

An excerpt from a four-year-old’s description of a picture:
“...she’s sitting on the seat airplane...she’s giving something to a girl, now she’s looking at a book...now she’s putting the thing up high.”

So what’s the problem with this description?

Quantifiers
Quantifiers are words that express quantities, like a, some, every, none, and most.
When two (or more) quantifiers are in a sentence, they interact semantically to determine the sentence’s meaning.

Everyone saw a movie last night.

Quantifiers
Quantifiers are words that express quantities, like a, some, every, none, and most.
When two (or more) quantifiers are in a sentence, they interact semantically to determine the sentence’s meaning.

Everyone saw a movie last night.
every >> a:
    For every person p, that person saw a movie m.

Compatible with this situation:
Lisa watched Labyrinth, Joseph watched Troy, and Benjamin watched Serenity.
Quantifiers

Quantifiers are words that express quantities, like a, some, every, none, and most.

When two (or more) quantifiers are in a sentence, they interact semantically to determine the sentence’s meaning.

Everyone saw a movie last night.

a >> every:
For a movie m, every person saw m.

Compatible with this situation:
Lisa, Joseph, and Benjamin watched Labyrinth.

Quantifiers

Quantifiers are words that express quantities, like a, some, every, none, and most.

When two (or more) quantifiers are in a sentence, they interact semantically to determine the sentence’s meaning.

Someone teases everyone. (Don’t let it get you down!)

some >> every:
For some person p, p teases every (other) person.

Compatible with this situation:
Jareth teases Sarah, Jareth teases Hoggle, and Jareth teases Ludo.

Quantifiers

Quantifiers are words that express quantities, like a, some, every, none, and most.

When two (or more) quantifiers are in a sentence, they interact semantically to determine the sentence’s meaning.

Some one teases every one. (Don’t let it get you down!)

every >> some:
For every person p, some (other) person p’ teases them.

Compatible with this situation:
Jareth teases Hoggle, Sarah teases Sir Didymus, and Hoggle teases Sarah.
Quantifiers
Testing children: Picture task (Roeper & DeVilliers 1991)

"Is every child riding a horse?"

Children as young as three answer "yes", showing they understand either interpretation.

Quantifiers
However, children seem to have trouble sometimes (Philip 1991)

"Is every girl riding an elephant?"

Children answer "no" – and say that this is not true because there is one elephant not being ridden!

Quantifiers
However, children seem to have trouble sometimes (Philip 1991)

A more context-friendly setup (Crain et al. 1996)

Story: A mother talks with her two daughters about whether they should drink soda or hot cider after skiing. The girls express a preference for soda, but are persuaded by their mother’s example to have cider.

"Did every skier drink a cup of apple cider?"

(Not apparent from the picture what happened – children have to recall from the story what happened.)
Quantifiers
Crain et al. 1996: Children between the ages of three and five years old responded “yes” (just like adults would). This suggests that young children’s previous issues with interpreting these kinds of questions stems from an issue in the experimental setup. Specifically, children are sensitive to the pragmatics of asking a question (don’t ask if it’s obvious). If a question violates this rule, children search for an alternative meaning for the question.

“Did every skier drink a cup of apple cider?”
(Not apparent from the picture what happened – children have to recall from the story what happened.)

Recap
Children must learn to interpret sentences that contain constructions that can be difficult to interpret just by using simple strategies, such as passives and sentences with implied subjects and implied objects.

Pronouns can also be difficult, since there are different rules of interpretation for plain pronouns and reflexive pronouns.

Quantifiers are also more difficult since they can interact with each other to form the interpretation of a sentence. In many cases, the meaning of the sentence is ambiguous since more than one interpretation is possible.

Sometimes, the errors children make seem to reflect a genuine lack of knowledge. Other times, they may be due to experimental design, rather than an actual lack of knowledge.

Questions?

You should be able to do all the review questions for morphology & syntax, and answer all the questions for HW3.