Psych 156A/ Ling 150: Psychology of Language Learning

Lecture 6 Words III - Grammatical Categories

Announcements

Lecture notes from last time corrected & posted (there was an error in one of the slides on recall and precision)

Pick up HW1

Be working on HW2 and the review questions for words

Grammatical Categorization

Computational Problem: Identify the grammatical category of a word (such as noun, verb, adjective, preposition, etc.) This will tell you how this word is used in the language, and will allow you to recognize other words that belong to the same category since they will be used the same way.

Examples of different categories in English: noun = goblin, kitten, king, girl

Examples of how nouns are used: I like that goblin. Kittens are adorable. A king said that no girls would ever solve the Labyrinth.

Grammatical Categorization

Computational Problem: Identify the grammatical category of a word (such as noun, verb, adjective, preposition, etc.) This will tell you how this word is used in the language, and will allow you to recognize other words that belong to the same category since they will be used the same way.

Examples of different categories in English: verb = like, are, said, solve, stand

Examples of how verbs are used: I like that goblin. Kittens are adorable. A king said that no girls would ever solve the Labyrinth. Sarah was standing very close to him.

Grammatical Categorization

Computational Problem: Identify the grammatical category of a word (such as noun, verb, adjective, preposition, etc.) This will tell you how this word is used in the language, and will allow you to recognize other words that belong to the same category since they will be used the same way.

Examples of different categories in English: adjective = silly, adorable, brave, close

Examples of how adjectives are used: I like the silliest goblin. Kittens are so adorable. The king said that only brave girls would solve the Labyrinth. Sarah was standing very close to him.

Grammatical Categorization

Computational Problem: Identify the grammatical category of a word (such as noun, verb, adjective, preposition, etc.) This will tell you how this word is used in the language, and will allow you to recognize other words that belong to the same category since they will be used the same way.

Examples of different categories in English: preposition = near, through, to

Examples of how prepositions are used: I like the goblin near the king's throne. The king said that no girls would get through the Labyrinth. Sarah was standing very close to him.

Grammatical Categorization

Computational Problem: Identify the grammatical category of a word (such as noun, verb, adjective, preposition, etc.) This will tell you how this word is used in the language, and will allow you to recognize other words that belong to the same category since they will be used the same way.





"He is very BAV." BAV = ??

"He should sit GAR the other dax." GAR = ??

Grammatical Categorization

Computational Problem: Identify the grammatical category of a word (such as noun, verb, adjective, preposition, etc.) This will tell you how this word is used in the language, and will allow you to recognize other words that belong to the same category since they will be used the same way.



"He is very BAV.

BAV = adjective

"He is SIBing." SIB = verb

"He should sit GAR the other dax." GAR = preposition

Categorization: How?

How might children initially learn what categories words are?

Idea 1: Deriving Categories from Semantic Information = Semantic Bootstrapping Hypothesis (Pinker 1984)

Children can initially determine a word's category by observing what kind of entity in the world it refers to.

objects, substance = noun (goblins, glitter)

action = verb (steal, sing)





The word's meaning is then linked to innate grammatical category knowledge (nouns are objects/substances, verb are actions, adjectives are properties)

Semantic Bootstrapping Hypothesis: Problem

Mapping rules are not perfect Ex: not all action-like words are verbs

"bouncy", "a kick" action-like meaning, but they're not verbs



Ex: not all property-like words are adjectives

"is shining", "it glitters" seem to be referring to properties, but these aren't adjectives

Categorization: How?
Idea 2: Distributional Learning
Children can initially determine a word's category by observing the linguistic environments in which words
Appear. Kittens are adorable.
Sarah was standing very close to him.
I like the silliest goblin. Adjective
The king said that no girls would get through the Labyrinth. Preposition

Are children sensitive to distributional information?

Children are sensitive to the distributional properties of their native language when they're born (Shi, Werker, & Morgan 1999).



15-16 month German infants can determine novel words are nouns, based on the distributional information around the novel words (Höhle et al. 2004)

18-month English infants can track distributional information like "is ... - ing" to signal that a word is a verb (Santelmann & Jusczyk 1998)

Mintz 2003: Is distributional information enough?

How do we know in child-directed speech (which is the linguistic data children encounter)...

- (1) What distributional information children should pay attention to?
- (2) If the available distributional information will actually correctly categorize words?

Mintz 2003: What data should children pay attention to?

"...question is how the learner is to know *which* environments are important and which should be ignored. Distributional analyses that consider all the possible relations among words in a corpus of sentences would be computationally unmanageable at best, and impossible at worst."

One idea: local contexts

"...by showing that local contexts are informative, these findings suggested a solution to the problem of there being too many possible environments to keep track of: focusing on local contexts might be sufficient."

Mintz 2003: Frequent Frames

Idea: What categorization information is available if children track frequent frames?

Frequent frame: X___Y where X and Y are words that frame another word and appear frequently in the child's linguistic environment

Examples:

the king is... the goblin is... the girl is...

the_is

can__him can trick him... can help him... can hug him...

Mintz 2003: Samples of Child-Directed Speech

Data representing child's linguistic environment: 6 corpora of child-directed speech from the CHILDES database, which contains transcriptions of parents interacting with their children.



Mintz 2003: Defining "Frequent"

Definition of "frequent" for frequent frames: Frames appearing a certain number of times in a corpus

"The principles guiding inclusion in the set of frequent frames were that frames should occur frequently enough to be noticeable, and that they should also occur enough to include a variety of intervening words to be categorized together.... a determined that the 45 most frequent frames satisfied these goals and provided good categorization."

Set of frequent frames = 45 most frequent frames

Mintz 2003: Defining "Frequent"

Example of deciding which frames were frequent:



Mintz 2003: Testing the Categorization Ability of **Frequent Frames**

Try out frequent frames on a corpus of child-directed speech.

Frame (1): the ____is Transcript: "...the radio is in the way...but the doll is...and the

teddy is ...

radio, doll, teddy are placed into the same category by the____is

Frame (13): you ____it Transcript: "...you draw it so that he can see it... you dropped it on purpose!...so he hit you with it..."

draw, dropped, with are placed into the same category by you_ it Mintz 2003:

Determining the success of frequent frames

Precision = <u># of words identified correctly as Category within frame</u> # of words identified as Category within frame

Recall = <u># of words identified correctly as Category within frame</u> # of words that should have been identified as Category

Mintz 2003:

Determining the success of frequent frames

Precision = # of words identified correctly as Category within frame # of words identified as Category within frame

Recall = <u># of words identified correctly as Category within frame</u> # of words that should have been identified as Category

Frame: you it

Category: draw, dropped, with (similar to Verb so compare to Verb)

Mintz 2003: Determining the success of frequent frames

Precision = # of words identified correctly as Category within frame # of words identified as Category within frame

Recall = # of words identified correctly as Category within frame # of words that should have been identified as Category

Frame: you it

Category: draw, dropped, with (similar to Verb so compare to Verb)

of words correctly identified as Verb = 2 (draw, dropped) # of words should be identified as Verb = all verbs in corpus (play, sit, draw, dropped, ran, kicked, ...)

Mintz 2003:

Determining the success of frequent frames

Precision = <u># of words identified correctly as Category within frame</u> # of words identified as Category within frame

Recall = # of words identified correctly as Category within frame # of words that should have been identified as Category

Frame: you___it Category: draw, dropped, with (similar to Verb so compare to Verb)

- # of words correctly identified as Verb = 2
- # of words should be identified as Verb = 100
- Recall = 2/100 (much smaller number)

Mintz 2003: Some actual frequent frame results

Frame: you___it

Category includes:

put, want, do, see, take, turn, taking, said, sure, lost, like, leave, got, find, throw, threw, think, sing, reach, picked, get, dropped, seen, lose, know, knocked, hold, help, had, gave, found, fit, enjoy, eat, chose, catch, with, wind, wear, use, took, told, throwing, stick, share, sang, roll, ride, recognize, reading, ran, pulled, pull, press, pouring, pick, on, need, move, manage, make, load, liked, lift, licking, let, left, hit, hear, give, flapped, fix, finished, drop, driving, done, did, cut, crashed, change, calling, bring, break, because, banged

Mintz 2003:

Some actual frequent frame results

Frame: the___is

Category includes:

moon, sun, truck, smoke, kitty, fish, dog, baby, tray, radio, powder, paper, man, lock, lipstick, lamb, kangaroo, juice, ice, flower, elbow, egg, door, donkey, doggie, crumb, cord, clip, chicken, bug, brush, book, blanket, Mommy

Mintz 2003: How successful frequent frames were

Precision: Above 90% for all corpora (high) = very good!

Interpretation: When a frequent frame clustered words together into category, they often did belong together. (Nouns were put together, verbs were put together, etc.)

Recall: Around 10% for all corpora (very low) = maybe not as good...

Interpretation: A frequent frame made lots of little clusters, rather than being able to cluster all the words into one category. (So, there were lots of Noun-ish clusters, lots of Verb-ish clusters, etc.)

Mintz 2003: Getting better recall

How could we form just one category of Verb, Noun, etc.?

Observation: Many frames overlap in the words they identify.

the_is	the_was	ais	thatis
dog	dog	dog	cat
cat	cat	goblin	goblin
king	king	king	king
girl	teddy	girl	teddy

What about putting clusters together that have a certain number of words in common?

Mintz 2003: Getting better recall

How could we form just one category of Verb, Noun, etc.?

was

Observation: Many frames overlap in the words they identify. а

girl





Mintz 2003: Getting better recall					
How could we form just or Observation: Many fram	ne category of Verb, Noun, etc.? nes overlap in the words they identify.				
the_is, the_was dog cat king girl teddy	a is dog goblin king girlthat is cat goblin king teddy				

Mintz 2003: Getting better recall

How could we form just one category of Verb, Noun, etc.?

Observation: Many frames overlap in the words they identify.





Mintz 2003: Getting better recall

How could we form just one category of Verb, Noun, etc.?

Observation: Many frames overlap in the words they identify.





Mintz 2003: Getting better recall

How could we form just one category of Verb, Noun, etc.?

Observation: Many frames overlap in the words they identify.





Mintz 2003: Getting better recall

How could we form just one category of Verb, Noun, etc.?

Observation: Many frames overlap in the words they identify.

the/a/that_	is/was
dog	teddy
cat	goblin
king	
girl	

Recall goes up to 91% (very high) = very good! Precision stays above 90% (very high) = very good!

Mintz 2003: Recap

Frequent frames are non-adjacent co-occurring words with one word in between them. (ex: the___is)

They are likely to be information young children are able to track, based on experimental studies.

When tested on realistic child-directed speech, frequent frames do very well at grouping words into clusters which are very similar to actual grammatical categories like Noun and Verb.

Frequent frames could be a very good strategy for children to use.

Wang & Mintz 2008: Simulating children using frequent frames

"...the frequent frame analysis procedure proposed by Mintz (2003) was not intended as a model of acquisition, but rather as a demonstration of the information contained in frequent frames in child-directed speech...Mintz (2003) did not address the question of whether an actual learner could detect and use frequent frames to categorize words..."



Wang & Mintz 2008: Simulating children using frequent frames

"This paper addresses this question with the investigation of a computational model of frequent frame detection that incorporates more psychologically plausible assumptions about the memor[y] resources of learners."

Computational model: a program that simulates the mental processes occurring in a child. This requires knowing what the input and output are, and then testing the algorithms that can take the given input and transform it into the desired output.

Wang & Mintz (2008): Considering Children's Limitations

Memory Considerations

- (1) Children possess limited memory and cognitive capacity and cannot track all the occurrences of all the frames in a corpus. (2) Memory retention is not perfect: infrequent frames may be
- forgotten.

The Model's Operation

- Only 150 frame types (and their frequencies) are held in memory
- (2) Forgetting function: frames that have not been encountered recently are less likely to stay in memory than frames that have been recently encountered

Wang & Mintz (2008): How the model works

(1) Child encounters an utterance (e.g. "You read the story to mommy.") (2) Child segments the utterance into frames:

You (1) You	read X	the the	story	to	mommy.
(2)	read	Х	story		
(3)		the	Х	to	
(4)			story	Х	mommy

Frames:

you___the, read___story, the___to, story___mommy

Wang & Mintz (2008): How the model works

If memory is not full, a newly-encountered frame is added to the memory and its initial activation is set to 1.

Memory

Activation

Processing Step 1

Wang & Mintz (2008): How the model works

If memory is not full, a newly-encountered frame is added to the memory and its initial activation is set to 1.

Memory you___the

Activation 1.0

Processing Step 1 (you___the)

The forgetting function is simulated by the activation for each frame in memory decreasing by 0.0075 after each processing step.

Memory you___the Activation 0.9925

Forgetting function

Wang & Mintz (2008): How the model works

When a new frame is encountered, the updating depends on whether the memory is already full or not. If it is not and the frame has not already been encountered, the new frame is added to the memory with activation 1.

Memory read___story you___the Activation 1.0 0.9925

Processing Step 2 (read___story)

Wang & Mintz (2008): How the model works

When a new frame is encountered, the updating depends on whether the memory is already full or not. If it is not and the frame has not already been encountered, the new frame is added to the memory with activation 1.

Memory read___story you___the

Activation 0.9925 0.9850

Forgetting function

Wang & Mintz (2008): How the model works

When a new frame is encountered, the updating depends on whether the memory is already full or not. If it is not and the frame has not already been encountered, the new frame is added to the memory with activation 1.

Memory the___to read___story you___the Activation 1.0 0.9925 0.9850

Processing step 3 (the___to)

When a new frame is encountered, the updating depends on whether the memory is already full or not. If it is not and the frame has not already been encountered, the new frame is added to the memory with activation 1.

Activation 0.9925

0.9850 0.9775

Memo	ory	
the	_to	
read_	story	
you	_the	

Forgetting function

Wang & Mintz (2008): How the model works

When a new frame is encountered, the updating depends on whether the memory is already full or not. If it is not and the frame has not already been encountered, the new frame is added to the memory with activation 1.

Memo	ory	
story_	mommy	
the	_to	
read_	story	
you	_the	

Activation 1.0 0.9925 0.9850 0.9775

Processing step 4 (story____mommy)

Wang & Mintz (2008): How the model works

When a new frame is encountered, the updating depends on whether the memory is already full or not. If it is not and the frame has not already been encountered, the new frame is added to the memory with activation 1.

Memo	ory
story_	mommy
the	_to
read_	story
you	_the

Activation 0.9925 0.9850 0.9775 0.9700

Forgetting function

Wang & Mintz (2008): How the model works

If the frame is already in memory because it was already encountered, activation for that frame increases by 1.

Memory story___mommy the___to read___story you___the

Activation 0.9925 0.9850 0.9775 0.9700

Processing step 5: (you____the)

If the frame is already in memory because it was already encountered, activation for that frame increases by 1.

Memo	ory
story_	mommy
the	_to
read_	story
you	_the

Activation 0.9925 0.9850 0.9775 1.9700

Processing step 5: (you____the)

Wang & Mintz (2008): How the model works

If the frame is already in memory because it was already encountered, activation for that frame increases by 1.

Memo	ory
you	_the
story_	mom
the	_to
read	story

ny

Activation 1.9700 0.9925 0.9850 0.9775

Processing step 5: (you____the)

Wang & Mintz (2008): How the model works

If the frame is already in memory because it was already encountered, activation for that frame increases by 1.

Memory you___the story___mommy the___to read___story Activation 1.9625 0.9850 0.9775 0.9700

Forgetting function

Wang & Mintz (2008): How the model works

Eventually, since the memory only holds 150 frames, the memory will become full.

Memory	Activation
storymommy	4.6925
theto	3.9850
readstory	3.9700
youthe	2.6925
shehim	0.9850
weit	0.7500
Memory after proces	sing step 200

At this point, if a frame not already in memory is encountered, it replaces the frame with the least activation, as long as that activation is less than 1.0.

Memory	Activation
storymommy	4.6925
theto	3.9850
readstory	3.9700
youthe	2.6925
shehim	0.9850
weit	0.7500

Processing step 201: because____said

Wang & Mintz (2008): How the model works

At this point, if a frame not already in memory is encountered, it replaces the frame with the least activation, as long as that activation is less than 1.0.

Memory	Activation
storymommy	4.6925
theto	3.9850
readstory	3.9700
youthe	2.6925
shehim	0.9850
14	0 7500

Processing step 201: because _____said

Wang & Mintz (2008): How the model works

At this point, if a frame not already in memory is encountered, it replaces the frame with the least activation, as long as that activation is less than 1.

Memory storymommy theto readstory	Activation 4.6925 3.9850 3.9700 2.6925
becausesaid	1.0000 0.9850

Processing step 201: because____said

Wang & Mintz (2008): How the model works

Eventually, however, all the frames in memory will have been encountered often enough that their activations are greater than 1.

Memory	Activation	
storymommy	9.6925	
theto	8.9850	
readstory	8.9700	
youthe	5.6925	
weher	3.9700	
shehim	2.9850	
Memory after processing step 5000		

At this point, no change is made to memory since the new frame's activation of 1 would be less than the least active frame in memory.

Memory	Activation
storymommy	9.6925
theto	8.9850
readstory	8.9700
youthe	5.6925
 weher shehim	3.9700 2.9850

Processing step 5001 (because___him)

Wang & Mintz (2008): How the model works

The forgetting function is then invoked.

Memory	Activation
storymommy	9.6850
theto	8.9775
readstory	8.9625
youthe	5.6850
weher	3.9625
shehim	2.9775

Forgetting function

Wang & Mintz (2008): How the model did

Using same corpora for input as Mintz (2003) (6 from CHILDES: Anne, Aran, Even, Naomi, Nina, Peter)

The model's precision was above 0.93 for all six corpora. This is very good! When the model decided a word belonged in a particular category (Verb, Noun, etc.) it usually did.



Wang & Mintz (2008): Conclusions

"...our model demonstrates very effective categorization ..our model demonstrates very effective categorization of words. Even with limited and imperfect memory, the learning algorithm can identify highly informative contexts after processing a relatively small number of utterances, thus yield[ing] a high accuracy of word categorization. It also provides evidence that frames are a robust cue for categorizing words."

Wang & Mintz (2008): Recap

- While Mintz (2003) showed that frequent frame information is useful for categorization, it did not demonstrate that children who have constraints like limited memory and cognitive processing power would be able to effectively use this information.
- Wang & Mintz (2008) showed that a model using frequent frames in a psychologically plausible way (that is, a way that children might identify and use frequent frames) was able to have the same success at identifying the grammatical category that a word is.

Questions?

