Announcements

Lecture notes from last time corrected & posted (there was an error in one of the slides on recall and precision)

Pick up HW1

Be working on HW2 and the review questions for words

Grammatical Categorization

Computational Problem: Identify the grammatical category of a word (such as noun, verb, adjective, preposition, etc.)

This will tell you how this word is used in the language, and will allow you to recognize other words that belong to the same category since they will be used the same way.

Examples of different categories in English:

noun = goblin, kitten, king, girl

Examples of how nouns are used:
I like that goblin.  Kittens are adorable.
A king said that no girls would ever solve the Labyrinth.

verb = like, are, said, solve, stand

Examples of how verbs are used:
I like that goblin.  Kittens are adorable.
A king said that no girls would ever solve the Labyrinth.
Sarah was standing very close to him.
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Categorization: How?

How might children initially learn what categories words are?

Idea 1: Deriving Categories from Semantic Information = Semantic Bootstrapping Hypothesis (Pinker 1984)

Children can initially determine a word’s category by observing what kind of entity in the world it refers to.

- objects, substance, noun
- action, verb
- property, adjective

The word’s meaning is then linked to innate grammatical category knowledge (nouns are objects/substances, verb are actions, adjectives are properties).

Semantic Bootstrapping Hypothesis: Problem

Mapping rules are not perfect

Ex: not all action-like words are verbs

“bouncy”, “a kick”

action-like meaning, but they’re not verbs

Ex: not all property-like words are adjectives

“is shining”, “it glitters”

seem to be referring to properties, but these aren’t adjectives

Categorization: How?

Idea 2: Distributional Learning

Children can initially determine a word’s category by observing the linguistic environments in which words appear.

- Kittens are adorable.  
  Noun
- Sarah was standing very close to him.  
  Verb
- I like the silliest goblin.  
  Adjective
- The king said that no girls would get through the Labyrinth.  
  Preposition

Are children sensitive to distributional information?

Children are sensitive to the distributional properties of their native language when they’re born (Shi, Werker, & Morgan 1999).

15-16 month German infants can determine novel words are nouns, based on the distributional information around the novel words (Höhle et al. 2004)

18-month English infants can track distributional information like “is….ing” to signal that a word is a verb (Santelmann & Jusczyk 1998)
Mintz 2003: Is distributional information enough?

How do we know in child-directed speech (which is the linguistic data children encounter)...

1. What distributional information children should pay attention to?

2. If the available distributional information will actually correctly categorize words?

Mintz 2003: What data should children pay attention to?

“…question is how the learner is to know which environments are important and which should be ignored. Distributional analyses that consider all the possible relations among words in a corpus of sentences would be computationally unmanageable at best, and impossible at worst.”

One idea: local contexts

“…by showing that local contexts are informative, these findings suggested a solution to the problem of there being too many possible environments to keep track of: focusing on local contexts might be sufficient.”

Mintz 2003: Frequent Frames

Idea: What categorization information is available if children track frequent frames?

Frequent frame: X ___ Y
where X and Y are words that frame another word and appear frequently in the child’s linguistic environment

Examples:
the ___ is can ___ him
the king is... can trick him...
the goblin is... can help him...
the girl is... can hug him...

Mintz 2003: Samples of Child-Directed Speech

Data representing child’s linguistic environment: 6 corpora of child-directed speech from the CHILDES database, which contains transcriptions of parents interacting with their children.

Corpus (sg.), corpora (pl.) = a collection of data [from Latin body, a “body” of data]
Mintz 2003: Defining "Frequent"

Definition of "frequent" for frequent frames:
Frames appearing a certain number of times in a corpus

"The principles guiding inclusion in the set of frequent frames were that frames should occur frequently enough to be noticeable, and that they should also occur enough to include a variety of intervening words to be categorized together... a pilot analysis with a randomly chosen corpus, Peter, determined that the 45 most frequent frames satisfied these goals and provided good categorization."

Set of frequent frames = 45 most frequent frames

Example of deciding which frames were frequent:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frame</th>
<th>How often it occurred in the corpus</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1) the___is</td>
<td>600 times</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2) a___is</td>
<td>580 times</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3) she___it</td>
<td>450 times</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(45) they___him</td>
<td>200 times</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(46) we___have</td>
<td>199 times</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

These frames considered "frequent"

Mintz 2003: Testing the Categorization Ability of Frequent Frames

Try out frequent frames on a corpus of child-directed speech.

Frame (1): the___is
Transcript: "...the radio is in the way...but the doll is...and the teddy is..."
radio, doll, teddy are placed into the same category by the___is

Frame (13): you___it
Transcript: "...you drew it so that he can see it...you dropped it on purpose...so he hit you with it..."
draw, dropped, with are placed into the same category by you___it

Mintz 2003: Determining the success of frequent frames

Precision = \# of words identified correctly as Category within frame
\# of words identified as Category within frame

Recall = \# of words identified correctly as Category within frame
\# of words that should have been identified as Category
Mintz 2003: Determining the success of frequent frames

Precision = # of words identified correctly as Category within frame
# of words identified as Category within frame

Recall = # of words identified correctly as Category within frame
# of words that should have been identified as Category

Frame: you__it
Category: draw, dropped, with (similar to Verb so compare to Verb)
  # of words correctly identified as Verb = 2 (draw, dropped)
  # of words identified as Verb = 3 (draw, dropped, with)
  Precision for you__it = 2/3

Mintz 2003: Determining the success of frequent frames

Precision = # of words identified correctly as Category within frame
# of words identified as Category within frame

Recall = # of words identified correctly as Category within frame
# of words that should have been identified as Category

Frame: you__it
Category: draw, dropped, with (similar to Verb so compare to Verb)
  # of words correctly identified as Verb = 2 (draw, dropped)
  # of words should be identified as Verb = 100
  Recall = 2/100 (much smaller number)

Mintz 2003: Determining the success of frequent frames

Frame: you__it
Category includes:
put, want, do, see, take, turn, taking, said, sure, lost, like, leave, go, find, throw, threw, think, sing, reach, picked, get, dropped, seen, lose, know, knocked, hold, help, had, gave, found, fit, enjoy, eat, chose, catch, with, wind, wear, use, took, told, throwing, stick, share, sang, roll, ride, recognize, reading, ran, pulled, pull, press, pouring, pick, on, need, move, manage, make, load, liked, lift, licking, let, left, hit, hear, give, flipped, fix, finished, drop, driving, done, did, cut, crashed, change, calling, bring, break, because, banged

Mintz 2003: Some actual frequent frame results

Frame: you__it
Category includes:
put, want, do, see, take, turn, taking, said, sure, lost, like, leave, go, find, throw, threw, think, sing, reach, picked, get, dropped, seen, lose, know, knocked, hold, help, had, gave, found, fit, enjoy, eat, chose, catch, with, wind, wear, use, took, told, throwing, stick, share, sang, roll, ride, recognize, reading, ran, pulled, pull, press, pouring, pick, on, need, move, manage, make, load, liked, lift, licking, let, left, hit, hear, give, flipped, fix, finished, drop, driving, done, did, cut, crashed, change, calling, bring, break, because, banged
Mintz 2003:
Some actual frequent frame results

Frame: the ___ is
Category includes:
moon, sun, truck, smoke, kitty, fish, dog, baby, tray, radio, powder, paper, man, lock, lipstick, lamb, kangaroo, juice, ice, flower, elbow, egg, door, donkey, doggie, crumb, cord, clip, chicken, bug, brush, book, blanket, Mommy

Mintz 2003:
How successful frequent frames were

Precision: Above 90% for all corpora (high) = very good!

Interpretation: When a frequent frame clustered words together into category, they often did belong together. (Nouns were put together, verbs were put together, etc.)

Recall: Around 10% for all corpora (very low) = maybe not as good…

Interpretation: A frequent frame made lots of little clusters, rather than being able to cluster all the words into one category. (So, there were lots of Noun-ish clusters, lots of Verb-ish clusters, etc.)

Mintz 2003:
Getting better recall

How could we form just one category of Verb, Noun, etc.?
Observation: Many frames overlap in the words they identify.

What about putting clusters together that have a certain number of words in common?
Mintz 2003: Getting better recall

How could we form just one category of Verb, Noun, etc.?

Observation: Many frames overlap in the words they identify.

the__is, the__was
dog
cat
king
girl
teddy

a__is
dog
goblin
king
girl

that__is ...
cat
goblin
king
teddy

the_is/was
dog
cat
king
girl
teddy

a__is
dog
goblin
king
girl

that__is ...
cat
goblin
king
teddy

Mintz 2003: Getting better recall

How could we form just one category of Verb, Noun, etc.?

Observation: Many frames overlap in the words they identify.

the__is/was

dog
cat
king
girl

teddy

a__is
dog
goblin
king
girl

that__is ...
cat
goblin
king
teddy

the/a__is/was
dog
cat
king
girl

teddy

a__is
dog
goblin
king
girl

that__is ...
cat
goblin
king
teddy
Observation: Many frames overlap in the words they identify.

the/a/that___is/was
dog teddy
cat goblin
king
girl

Recall goes up to 91% (very high) = very good!
Precision stays above 90% (very high) = very good!

Mintz 2003: Getting better recall

How could we form just one category of Verb, Noun, etc.?

Mintz 2003: Recap

Frequent frames are non-adjacent co-occurring words with one word in between them. (ex: the__is)

They are likely to be information young children are able to track, based on experimental studies.

When tested on realistic child-directed speech, frequent frames do very well at grouping words into clusters which are very similar to actual grammatical categories like Noun and Verb.

Frequent frames could be a very good strategy for children to use.

Wang & Mintz 2008: Simulating children using frequent frames

“...the frequent frame analysis procedure proposed by Mintz (2003) was not intended as a model of acquisition, but rather as a demonstration of the information contained in frequent frames in child-directed speech...Mintz (2003) did not address the question of whether an actual learner could detect and use frequent frames to categorize words...”

Wang & Mintz 2008: Simulating children using frequent frames

“This paper addresses this question with the investigation of a computational model of frequent frame detection that incorporates more psychologically plausible assumptions about the memory resources of learners.”

Computational model: a program that simulates the mental processes occurring in a child. This requires knowing what the input and output are, and then testing the algorithms that can take the given input and transform it into the desired output.

Memory Considerations
(1) Children possess limited memory and cognitive capacity and cannot track all the occurrences of all the frames in a corpus.
(2) Memory retention is not perfect: infrequent frames may be forgotten.

The Model’s Operation
(1) Only 150 frame types (and their frequencies) are held in memory.
(2) Forgetting function: frames that have not been encountered recently are less likely to stay in memory than frames that have been recently encountered.

Wang & Mintz (2008): How the model works

(1) Child encounters an utterance (e.g. “You read the story to mommy.”)
(2) Child segments the utterance into frames:

Frames:
you___the, read___story, the___to, story___mommy

Wang & Mintz (2008): How the model works

If memory is not full, a newly-encountered frame is added to the memory and its initial activation is set to 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Memory</th>
<th>Activation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>you___the</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Processing Step 1 (you___the)
The forgetting function is simulated by the activation for each frame in memory decreasing by 0.0075 after each processing step.

Wang & Mintz (2008): How the model works

When a new frame is encountered, the updating depends on whether the memory is already full or not. If it is not and the frame has not already been encountered, the new frame is added to the memory with activation 1.

Processing step 3 (the___to)
Wang & Mintz (2008): How the model works

When a new frame is encountered, the updating depends on whether the memory is already full or not. If it is not and the frame has not already been encountered, the new frame is added to the memory with activation 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Memory</th>
<th>Activation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>the_to</td>
<td>0.9925</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>read_story</td>
<td>0.9850</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>you_the</td>
<td>0.9775</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Forgetting function

Wang & Mintz (2008): How the model works

When a new frame is encountered, the updating depends on whether the memory is already full or not. If it is not and the frame has not already been encountered, the new frame is added to the memory with activation 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Memory</th>
<th>Activation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>story_mommy</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>the_to</td>
<td>0.9925</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>read_story</td>
<td>0.9850</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>you_the</td>
<td>0.9775</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Processing step 4 (story_mommy)

Wang & Mintz (2008): How the model works

When a new frame is encountered, the updating depends on whether the memory is already full or not. If it is not and the frame has not already been encountered, the new frame is added to the memory with activation 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Memory</th>
<th>Activation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>story_mommy</td>
<td>0.9925</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>the_to</td>
<td>0.9850</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>read_story</td>
<td>0.9775</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>you_the</td>
<td>0.9700</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Forgetting function

Wang & Mintz (2008): How the model works

If the frame is already in memory because it was already encountered, activation for that frame increases by 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Memory</th>
<th>Activation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>story_mommy</td>
<td>0.9925</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>the_to</td>
<td>0.9850</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>read_story</td>
<td>0.9775</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>you_the</td>
<td>0.9700</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Processing step 5: (you_the)
Wang & Mintz (2008): How the model works

If the frame is already in memory because it was already encountered, activation for that frame increases by 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Memory</th>
<th>Activation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>story_mommy</td>
<td>0.9925</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>the_to</td>
<td>0.9850</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>read_story</td>
<td>0.9775</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>you_the</td>
<td>1.9700</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Processing step 5: (you_the)

Forgetting function

Wang & Mintz (2008): How the model works

Eventually, since the memory only holds 150 frames, the memory will become full.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Memory</th>
<th>Activation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>story_mommy</td>
<td>4.6925</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>the_to</td>
<td>3.9850</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>read_story</td>
<td>3.9700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>you_the</td>
<td>2.6925</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>she_him</td>
<td>0.9850</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>we_it</td>
<td>0.7500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Memory after processing step 200
Wang & Mintz (2008): How the model works

At this point, if a frame not already in memory is encountered, it replaces the frame with the least activation, as long as that activation is less than 1.0.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Memory</th>
<th>Activation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>story__mommym</td>
<td>4.6925</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>the__to</td>
<td>3.9850</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>read__story</td>
<td>3.9700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>you__the</td>
<td>2.6925</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>she__him</td>
<td>0.9850</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>we__it</td>
<td>0.7500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Processing step 201: because__said

Eventually, however, all the frames in memory will have been encountered often enough that their activations are greater than 1.0.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Memory</th>
<th>Activation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>story__mommym</td>
<td>9.6925</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>the__to</td>
<td>8.9850</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>read__story</td>
<td>8.9700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>you__the</td>
<td>5.6925</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>we__her</td>
<td>3.9700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>she__him</td>
<td>2.9850</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Memory after processing step 5000
At this point, no change is made to memory since the new frame’s activation of 1 would be less than the least active frame in memory.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Memory</th>
<th>Activation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>story___mommy</td>
<td>9.6925</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>the___to</td>
<td>8.9850</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>read___story</td>
<td>8.9700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>you___the</td>
<td>5.6925</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>we___her</td>
<td>3.9700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>she___him</td>
<td>2.9850</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Processing step 5001 (because___him)

The forgetting function is then invoked.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Memory</th>
<th>Activation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>story___mommy</td>
<td>9.6950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>the___to</td>
<td>8.9775</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>read___story</td>
<td>8.9625</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>you___the</td>
<td>5.6850</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>we___her</td>
<td>3.9625</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>she___him</td>
<td>2.9775</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Wang & Mintz (2008): How the model did

Using same corpora for input as Mintz (2003)
(6 from CHILDES: Anne, Aran, Even, Naomi, Nina, Peter)

The model’s precision was above 0.93 for all six corpora.
This is very good!
When the model decided a word belonged in a particular category (Verb, Noun, etc.) it usually did.

Wang & Mintz (2008): Conclusions

“…our model demonstrates very effective categorization of words. Even with limited and imperfect memory, the learning algorithm can identify highly informative contexts after processing a relatively small number of utterances, thus yielding a high accuracy of word categorization. It also provides evidence that frames are a robust cue for categorizing words.”

Figure 4: Accuracies after processing the six corpora.
Wang & Mintz (2008): Recap

While Mintz (2003) showed that frequent frame information is useful for categorization, it did not demonstrate that children - who have constraints like limited memory and cognitive processing power - would be able to effectively use this information.

Wang & Mintz (2008) showed that a model using frequent frames in a psychologically plausible way (that is, a way that children might identify and use frequent frames) was able to have the same success at identifying the grammatical category that a word is.