Ling 51/Psych 56L: Acquisition of Language

Lecture 5
Biological bases of language acquisition II
Announcements

Be working on the review questions and HW2
The critical period hypothesis
Critical & sensitive periods

“critical period for language” = biologically determined period during which language acquisition must occur in order for language to be learned fully and correctly.

Other biologically determined deadlines:

- **imprinting**: chicks & ducklings follow first thing they see forever (it’s likely their mommy)

- **visual cells in humans**: if cells for both eyes don’t receive visual input during the first year or so of life, they lose the ability to respond to visual input
Critical & sensitive periods

“sensitive period”: biologically determined period during which learning must occur for development to happen correctly, but development can still occur partially after this period.

Fig 1: Windows of plasticity in brain development
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How do we test for a critical/sensitive period for language acquisition?
Critical & sensitive periods

How do we test for a critical/sensitive period for language acquisition?

(1) Ideal experiment: deprive children of all linguistic input during the purported critical/sensitive period and see how language development occurs.

Problem: ideal experiment isn’t so ideal ethically or logistically
Critical & sensitive periods

How do we test for a critical/sensitive period for language acquisition?

Some historical and modern cases that have unintentionally provided lack of linguistic input to children:

“wild children”: like Victor of Aveyron, Oxana Malaya of the Ukraine

http://www.radiolab.org/story/293679-forbidden-experiment/

Problem: unclear that lack of language is solely due to lack of linguistic input (may be other factors)
Critical & sensitive periods

How do we test for a critical/sensitive period for language acquisition?

One success story for lack of linguistic input with a young child: Isabelle

1930s: 6-year-old Isabelle discovered hidden away in a dark room with a deaf-mute mother as her only contact.

She was taught to speak and by age 8, appeared to be normal. Potential implication: Isabelle discovered before critical/sensitive period was over.
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A more thorough study: Genie
Critical & sensitive periods

How do we test for a critical/sensitive period for language acquisition?

A more thorough study: Genie

1970s: 13-year-old Genie brought by her mother to social services after escaping mentally ill father; until mother’s escape, had no language input (and very horrific living conditions)

By age 17, she had a five-year-old’s vocabulary, and could express meanings by combining words together.
Critical & sensitive periods

How do we test for a critical/sensitive period for language acquisition?

A more thorough study: Genie

However...her syntactic skills lagged far behind - deficient in both production and comprehension.

“Mama wash hair in sink.”
“At school scratch face.”
“I want Curtiss play piano.”
“Man motorcycle have.”

“Like go ride yellow school bus.”
“Father take piece wood. Hit. Cry.”
“Applesauce buy store”
“Father hit Genie cry long time ago.”
Critical & sensitive periods

How do we test for a critical/sensitive period for language acquisition?

A more thorough study: Genie

Dichotic listening tasks showed language was a right-hemisphere activity for her (while it’s a left-hemisphere activity for most adults).
Critical & sensitive periods

How do we test for a critical/sensitive period for language acquisition?

A more thorough study: Genie

Potential Implication: Genie discovered after critical period was over.

However, Genie may have had other cognitive disabilities...
Critical & sensitive periods

How do we test for a critical/sensitive period for language acquisition?

Lenneberg (1967):
“the only safe conclusions to be drawn from the multitude of reports is life in dark closets, wolves’ dens, forests, or sadistic parents’ backyards is not conducive to good health or normal development”
Critical & sensitive periods

How do we test for a critical/sensitive period for language acquisition?

Another study: Chelsea (Curtiss 1988)

**Family background**: A partially deaf woman incorrectly diagnosed as “retarded”. From a loving home.

**Discovered** at age 31, and fitted with hearing aids

**Outcome**: Learned a large vocabulary, but *syntax* and *morphology* worse than Genie.
Critical & sensitive periods

How do we test for a critical/sensitive period for language acquisition?

Another study: Chelsea (Curtiss 1988)

Sample speech from Chelsea:

(1) The small a the hat
(2) Orange Tim car in
(3) I Wanda be drive come
(4) Breakfast eating girl
(5) They are is car in the Tim
Critical & sensitive periods

How do we test for a critical/sensitive period for language acquisition?

(2) Late acquisition of sign language (ASL): deaf-of-hearing children whose parents don’t know sign language. Children are eventually exposed to sign language when they encounter other deaf children.

Good: individuals have normal early childhood experience, except for lack of language input

Note: 95% of Deaf children are born to hearing, non-signing parents, who most frequently use only spoken language (Mitchell and Karchmer, 2005)
Critical & sensitive periods

How do we test for a critical/sensitive period for language acquisition?

(2) Late acquisition of sign language (ASL): deaf-of-hearing children whose parents don’t know sign language. Children are eventually exposed to sign language when they encounter other deaf children.

Henner, Caldwell-Harris, Novogrodsky, & Hoffmeister 2016: Hearing parents often expose their Deaf children to sign language by enrolling them into schools for the Deaf, where both peers and teachers use ASL. First exposure to ASL for Deaf children is thus frequently the age of entrance to a school for the Deaf.
How do we test for a critical/sensitive period for language acquisition?

If a critical or sensitive period is true, *children who learn earlier should be better than children who learned later...*
Critical & sensitive periods

How do we test for a critical/sensitive period for language acquisition?

If a critical or sensitive period is true, children who learn earlier should be better than children who learned later - this is what Newport (1990) found. Children who were 4 to 6 years old when first exposed to ASL were far superior in their sign language ability when compared to children who were exposed after age 12.
Critical & sensitive periods

How do we test for a critical/sensitive period for language acquisition?

Also important: not just about how long sign language speakers had known the language. **Speakers who had been signing for more than 30 years showed this same difference.** Those exposed younger were far superior in their language skills to those exposed when they were older.
Critical & sensitive periods

How do we test for a critical/sensitive period for language acquisition?

Age of ASL acquisition has long-lasting effects on syntactic acquisition, narrative comprehension, sentence memory, sentence interpretation, and online grammatical processing that appear even when learners were adults who were tested after years of sign language experience (Mayberry and Fischer, 1989, Mayberry 1992, Mayberry and Lock 2003, Boudreault and Mayberry 2006).
Critical & sensitive periods

How do we test for a critical/sensitive period for language acquisition?

Henner, Caldwell-Harris, Novogrodsy, & Hoffmeister 2016: Testing ASL-learning children between 7 and 18 years old, with different histories of exposure to ASL
- Native [= 1+ Deaf parent at home] vs. non-native signer
- age of entry into the signing school.
Critical & sensitive periods

How do we test for a critical/sensitive period for language acquisition?

Henner, Caldwell-Harris, Novogrodsky, & Hoffmeister 2016

Syntax judgment task: Choose a response to a question like “Who thinks we have a test tomorrow?”

(1a) Correct response: MY FRIEND HEj THINK WE HAVE TEST TOMORROW.

(1b) Word order violation: TEST TOMORROW THINK WE HAVE MY FRIEND HEj.

(1c) Word order violation: TOMORROW MY FRIEND HEj HAVE THINK TEST.

(1d) Syntactic violation: MY FRIENDj HEj THINK WE HAVE TEST TOMORROW
Critical & sensitive periods

How do we test for a critical/sensitive period for language acquisition?

Henner, Caldwell-Harris, Novogrodsky, & Hoffmeister 2016

Syntax judgment task
Critical & sensitive periods

How do we test for a critical/sensitive period for language acquisition?

Henner, Caldwell-Harris, Novogrodky, & Hoffmeister 2016

Syntax judgment task

Input effect 1: Generally poorer performance when you don’t have a Deaf parent at home to give you systematic ASL input.
Critical & sensitive periods

How do we test for a critical/sensitive period for language acquisition?

Henner, Caldwell-Harris, Novogrodnsky, & Hoffmeister 2016

Syntax judgment task

Input effect 2: Poorer performance the older you are when you first enter the signing school (and are exposed to systematic input).
Critical & sensitive periods

How do we test for a critical/sensitive period for language acquisition?

Henner, Caldwell-Harris, Novogrodsyky, & Hoffmeister 2016

Implication: Age of systematic language exposure matters. The older you are, the harder it is to achieve native ASL proficiency, especially for the syntactic components of ASL.
How do we test for a critical/sensitive period for language acquisition?

(3) Look at second language learning.

Why? Children who learn a second language when they are young often become indistinguishable from their native-born peers. In contrast, people who are older have very different outcomes.
Critical & sensitive periods

How do we test for a critical/sensitive period for language acquisition?

Testing age differences in second language acquisition:

- Oyama 1976: testing Italian immigrants learning English
  Age of arrival was better predictor of accent than how many years the immigrant had been speaking English

- Oyama 1978: Age of arrival was better predictor of comprehension than number of years speaking the language (not just about motor skill learning ability)
Critical & sensitive periods

How do we test for a critical/sensitive period for language acquisition?

Testing age differences in second language acquisition:

Johnson & Newport 1989: testing grammatical competency of Chinese & Korean natives living in the US

Heard recorded voices speaking sentences, and had to judge whether they were correct or not.

“The farmer bought two pig at the market.”

“Tom is reading book in bathtub.”
Second-language proficiency dependent on age of initial language exposure (even with same number of years of exposure total)
Second-language proficiency dependent on age of initial language exposure (even with same number of years of exposure total)

Morphology:
e.g. verb agreement in production

Tom is/*are reading book in bathtub

![Graph showing the relationship between age of initial language exposure and second-language proficiency.](image)
Second-language proficiency dependent on age of initial language exposure – but not all aspects are dependent

**Basic word order:**

- **SVO**

Ex: “Penguins like fish.”

As opposed to

“Fish penguins like”

(Object Subject Verb)
Second-language proficiency dependent on age of initial language exposure – but not all aspects are dependent.

Balari & Lorenzo 2015: **Phonology** and certain aspects of **morphosyntax** (how words and word pieces combine together to form phrases) seem to be set *earlier* while **lexical** knowledge seems to remain attainable for quite some time.
Before and after the critical/sensitive period (sometimes called “maturation”)

During Maturation
Decline in ability with maturation.

After Maturation
No relationship between Age of Arrival and Test Score
Some evidence for critical/sensitive period

Johnson & Newport (1989) also found that performance was not correlated with:

- Formal instruction in English
- Amount of initial exposure to English
- Reported motivation to learn English
- Self-consciousness in English
- Identification with American culture
Some evidence for critical/sensitive period

Functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) studies confirm different neural processing for language in individuals who learned before age 7 to 8 vs. individuals who learned after this age (Kim et al. 1997, Dehaene et al. 1997, Wartenburger et al. 2003, Saur et al. 2009)
Some evidence for critical/sensitive period

Event-related potential (ERP) studies confirm differing left-hemisphere specialization for language in individuals who learned before age 4 vs. individuals who learned between 4 and 7 vs. individuals who learned after 7 (Weber-Fox & Neville 1996, 1999, Isel 2005)
Sum up: Critical/sensitive period

- Language learning is comparatively *effortless* before age 8 or so, extremely effortful after
- Applies to both first and second language learning
- Applies to spoken and signed languages
- Critical/sensitive periods similar to other biologically-programmed abilities in humans and other species
Sum up: Critical/sensitive period

Can effortlessly learn any language
But only while you’re too young to care.

http://www.quickmeme.com/meme/36f39x/
Critical vs. sensitive, revisited

If there is truly a critical period of language acquisition, people learning language after this period should not succeed very well at all (they should be equally bad). In contrast, people within the critical period should do very well (they should be equally native-like).

Expectation: discontinuous function of performance
However, most of the evidence we’ve seen (including the one below) suggests that there is a smoother drop-off. (support for sensitive period)

Critical vs. sensitive, revisited

Hakuta, Bialystok, & Wiley 2003
See Thiessen, Girard, & Erickson 2016 for a summary of studies supporting a sensitive instead of a critical period.

The potential relationship to domain-general statistical learning processes:

“From this perspective, developmental change arises, not from a switch from one set of processes to another, but due to changes in the effectiveness of a continuously present set of learning processes... while the [statistical learning] processes of extraction and integration are active across the lifespan, their outcome will differ as a function of the learner’s prior experience and maturational state.”
Critical vs. sensitive, revisited

See Thiessen, Girard, & Erickson 2016 for a summary of studies supporting a sensitive instead of a critical period.

The potential relationship to domain-general statistical learning processes:

“...there are two major factors that may plausibly be linked to changes in language learning outcomes associated with increasing age. The first is increasing familiarity of language, which makes a learner better adapted to the languages with which they are familiar, but less able to adapt to novel languages. The second factor is maturational changes, which alter both the cognitive architecture supporting statistical learning, and the degree of plasticity with which the learner’s neurobiological organization can adapt to novel input.”
Critical vs. sensitive, revisited

See Thiessen, Girard, & Erickson 2016 for a summary of studies supporting a sensitive instead of a critical period.

Why there’s an age effect:

“...the advantage of younger language learners relates, in part, to the fact that infants and young children are highly adaptable learners, but not yet strongly adapted to their particular linguistic environment.”
Since we don’t often see this sharp drop-off in performance, it’s more likely there is a sensitive period for learning aspects of language, rather than a critical period.
“...rather than postulating one critical period for language acquisition, a more plausible hypothesis is that grammatical development is characterized by several sensitive phases...a phase can be characterized by an optimal period for the acquisition of the phenomenon in question...characterized by a relatively short onset, followed by an extended optimal period and a gradual offset...”
– Meisel, 2013
So why are younger children better?

“Less is more” hypothesis: Newport 1991

Children can remember less than adults (and have other cognitive limitations, like less attention). Perhaps language is actually easier to figure out if the input is limited to smaller chunks. Adults remember more and can store longer chunks, which makes their analytical task harder.
So why are younger children better?

“Less is more” hypothesis: Newport 1991

So why are younger children better?

Some experimental support for the utility of “Less is more” when learning a foreign language as an adult: Chin & Kersten 2010

Adults learning French over two one-hour sessions
- full sentences vs. small phrases that incrementally increased length to full sentences (to simulate children’s steadily expanding processing abilities)

Adults learning incrementally outperformed adults learning from full sentences on language proficiency tests of vocabulary and grammar.
So why are younger children better?

Some experimental support for the utility of “Less is more” when learning a language as an adult: Finn et al. 2014

Adult subjects listened to an artificial language they were meant to learn. One group was told to pay close attention (active listeners) while the other group listened more passively — they were distracted by doing a puzzle or coloring while they listened.

Active listeners: More cognitive resources focused on task.
Passive listeners: Fewer cognitive resources focused on task.

The passive listeners outdid the active listeners when it came to learning morphology (how words combine together)!
So why are younger children better?

Some experimental support for the utility of “Less is more” when learning a language as an adult: Finn et al. 2014

"We found that effort helps you in most situations, for things like figuring out what the units of language that you need to know are, and basic ordering of elements. But when trying to learn morphology, at least in this artificial language we created, it's actually worse when you try.” — Amy Finn

http://www.sciencedaily.com/releases/2014/07/140721142211.htm
Recap

There appears to be a period during which language is acquired most easily - whether this is a critical period or sensitive period may vary depending on what specific linguistic knowledge we look at.

The “less is more” hypothesis is one idea for why children’s minds might be more suited to language learning than adults’ minds.
Questions?

You should be able to answer up through question 19 of the bio bases review sheet, and up through question 14 on HW2.