Psych 245A: Computational Models of Language Learning

Lecture 1
Introduction to the Linguist’s Viewpoint

Knowledge of Language

It’s so natural for us to produce and comprehend language that we often don’t think about what an accomplishment this is.

Or how we learned language in the first place.

About Language

Language is a complex system of knowledge: includes sound structure, word structure, sentence structure, mapping from sentence structure to meaning, unspoken rules of conversation...

Languages can differ significantly on how they instantiate this knowledge.

And despite all this complexity, children of all languages acquire the necessary knowledge to speak their native language.

Don’t goblins like children?

Goblins like children.
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Jackendoff (1994)

“For the moment, the main thing is to appreciate how hard a problem this is. The fact that we can talk (and cats can’t) seems so obvious that it hardly bears mention. But just because it’s obvious doesn’t mean its easy to explain.”
Kids Do Amazing Things

Much of the linguistic system is already known by age 3.

...when kids can't tie their own shoes or even count to 4.

What kids are doing: extracting patterns and making generalizations from noisy data sets without explicit instruction.

“Rules” of language = grammar

Knowledge of Language & Hidden Rules

Some examples from language:

You know that...

... *strop* is a possible word of English, while *stvop* isn't.

Knowledge of Language & Hidden Rules

Some examples from language:

You know that...

... "Who did you see who did that?" is not a grammatical question in English

(Instead: "Who did you see do that?")

Knowledge of Language & Hidden Rules

Some examples from language:

You know that...

...In "She ate the peach while Sarah was reading”, she ≠ Sarah

but she can be Sarah in all of these:

*Sarah* ate the peach while she was reading.
While she was reading, *Sarah* ate the peach.
While Sarah was reading, she ate the peach.
Knowledge of Language & Hidden Rules

Some examples from language:

You know that...

…the 's' in 'cats' sounds different from the 's' in goblins

cats: 's' = /s/
goblins: 's' = /z/

Example: Most sentences we have never seen or used before, but we can still understand them.

Question: Can speakers simply memorize all the possible sentences of a language the way they learn vocabulary of their language? Not if there are an infinite number of them.

Possible objections to a mental rule set

“Why should I believe I store a set of rules unconsciously in my mind? I just understand sentences because they make sense.”

Possible objections to a mental rule set

“Why should I believe I store a set of rules unconsciously in my mind? I just understand sentences because they make sense.”

But why do some sentences make sense and others don’t?

Hoggle has two jewels.

“Two Hoggle jewels has.”

Why rules?

“The expressive variety of language use implies that a language user’s brain contains unconscious grammatical principles” - Jackendoff (1994)

Example: Most sentences we have never seen or used before, but we can still understand them.

Question: Can speakers simply memorize all the possible sentences of a language the way they learn vocabulary of their language? Not if there are an infinite number of them.
Possible objections to a mental rule set

"Why should I believe I store a set of rules unconsciously in my mind? I just understand sentences because they make sense."

But why do some sentences make sense and others don't?

Hoggle has two jewels.

"Two Hoggle jewels has.

Why can we recognize patterns even when some of the words are unknown?

"Twas brillig, and the slithy toves did gyre and gimble in the wabe..."

Possible objections to an unconscious rule set

"When I talk, the talk just comes out - I'm not consulting any rule set."

Analogy: wiggling your fingers

When you want to wiggle your fingers, you "just wiggle them."

But your finger-wiggling intention was turned into commands sent by your brain to your muscles, and you're never conscious of the process unless something interferes with it. Nonetheless, there is a process, even if you're not aware of it.
Children’s Mistakes

(From Martin Braine)

Child: Want other one spoon, Daddy.
Father: You mean, you want the other spoon.
Child: Yes, I want other one spoon, please Daddy.
Father: Can you say "the other spoon"?
Child: Other...one...spoon.
Father: Say "other".
Child: Other.
Father: "Spoon."
Child: Spoon.
Father: "Other spoon."
Child: Other...spoon. Now give me other one spoon?

An important point for learning: Corrective feedback not always heeded.

Children’s Mistakes

(From Martin Braine)

Child: Want other one spoon, Daddy.
Father: You mean, you want the other spoon.
Child: Yes, I want other one spoon, please Daddy.
Father: Can you say "the other spoon"?
Child: Other...one...spoon.
Father: Say "other".
Child: Other.
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Children’s Mistakes

From Edward Klima & Ursula Bellugi

Wh-questions

Stage 1
What book name?
Why you smiling?
What soldier marching?

Stage 2
What he can ride in?
Which way they should go?
Why kitty can’t stand up?

Stage 3
Where will you go?
Why can’t kitty see?
Why don’t you know?

Use of negative elements (not, n’t)

Stage 1
No the sun shining.
No a boy bed.
No sit there.

Stage 2
He no bite you.
I no want envelope.
I no taste them.

Stage 3
I didn’t do it.
You didn’t caught me.
Children’s Mistakes

From Edward Klima & Ursula Bellugi

Use of past tense verbs (U-shaped curve of performance)

Stage 1
walked
played
came
went

Stage 2
walked
played
camed
wented

good
holded

Stage 3
walked
played
camed
wented

Stage 4
walked
played
came
went

Main points

Children don’t just imitate what they’ve heard - they’re trying to figure out the patterns of their native language.

The patterns they produce during learning are often stripped-down versions of the adult pattern, but they make mistakes that cannot be attributed directly to the input.

Standard Theory

The Standard Theory, according to Chomsky (summarized by Seidenberg (1997))

Big Questions of Language Acquisition:

What constitutes knowledge of language?
How is this knowledge acquired?
How is this knowledge used?
Knowledge of language, according to Chomsky

Knowledge of language = grammar

Grammar = complex set of rules and constraints that gives speakers intuitions that some sentences belong in the language while others do not

Competence Hypothesis: Grammar is separate from “performance factors” like disfluencies (she said...um, wrote that), errors (I bringed it), memory capacity (The boy that the dog that the cat chased bit ran home.), and statistical properties of language (frequency of transitive (Sarah ate the peach) vs. intransitive use (Sarah ate))

"I think we are forced to conclude that...probabilistic models give no particular insight into some of the basic problems of syntactic structure" - Chomsky, 1957

How does a child acquire a grammar that has those properties (generative, involving abstract structures, modular, domain-specific)?

Poverty of the stimulus problem

Poverty of the Stimulus

Language

Can be thought of as the set of legal items in the language (sentences, strings, etc.). The child’s job: figure out the rules that generate that legal set and don’t generate illegal items.

Illegal Items

Faries bite

Legal Items

Hoggle is an ornery dwarf

Can the girl who can summon the Goblin King solve the Labyrinth?

Can the girl who can summon the Goblin King can solve the Labyrinth?
Poverty of the Stimulus

The Logic of Poverty of the Stimulus (The Logical Problem of Language Acquisition)

1) Suppose there is some data.
2) Suppose there is an incorrect hypothesis compatible with the data.
3) Suppose children behave as if they never entertain the incorrect hypothesis.

Conclusion: Children possess innate knowledge ruling out the incorrect hypothesis from the hypothesis space considered.

Poverty of the Stimulus

The argument for having innate biases to guide language learning

Idea: The data available to the child are compatible with a number of generalizations. However, children only seem to pick the right ones. Therefore, they must have some other constraints guiding their language learning.

The innate part: The guiding information must be available prior to learning.
Jareth can alter time. Can Jareth alter time?

Rule: Move first auxiliary?

Anyone who can wish away their brother might be tempted to do it. Might anyone who can wish away their brother be tempted to do it?

Rule: Move second auxiliary?

That anyone who can wish away their brother might be tempted to do it is up for debate. Is that anyone who can wish away their brother might be tempted to do it up for debate?
Poverty of the Stimulus: More Details

Jareth can alter time. Can Jareth alter time?

Rule: Move first auxiliary?

Anyone who can wish away their brother might be tempted to do it. Might anyone who can wish away their brother be tempted to do it?

Rule: Move second auxiliary?

That anyone who can wish away their brother might be tempted to do it is up for debate. Is that anyone who can wish away their brother might be tempted to do it up for debate?

Rule: Move last auxiliary?

Someone who is not easily fooled could trick someone who is. Could someone who is not easily fooled trick someone who is?
[Jareth can alter time. Can Jareth alter time?

Anyone might be tempted to do it. Might anyone be tempted to do it?

That is up for debate. Is that up for debate?

Someone could trick someone who is. Could someone trick someone who is?]

Idea: Look at structure, not just linear order

Rule: Move main clause auxiliary

Learning bias: try structure-dependent rules

Poverty of the Stimulus: Data

Induction Problem: Logical Problem of Language Acquisition
Children don’t usually get access to all the data we just saw by the time they have the correct generalization (move main clause auxiliary). They learn from a subset of the legal items in the language. And still they seem to converge on the right generalizations…without trying out the wrong ones.

Poverty of the Stimulus: Real Data

Child input
Very frequent
Is Hoggle running away from Jareth?

Very infrequent, if ever
Can someone who can solve the Labyrinth show someone who can’t how?

Hypotheses for frequent data type
Structure-independent (linear)
Front first auxiliary, Front last auxiliary, …

Structure-independent (hierarchical)
Front the first auxiliary following the first noun phrase, Front the first auxiliary preceding a verb phrase,

Structure-independent (creative)
Front the auxiliary closest to a noun, Front the auxiliary that is an odd-numbered position, …
Poverty of the Stimulus: Real Data

The Real Rule
Front the auxiliary following the subject noun phrase in the main clause.

But the unbiased child has to rule out all the other options, even ones that are simpler to compute. (For instance: front first auxiliary is much easier to compute.) We would expect to see errors of this type.

Is the dwarf who is talking to Jareth is going to give Sarah the peach?

Real Children
But kids don’t seem to make this error (Crain & Nakayama, 1987).

Implication: They’ve already ruled out that hypothesis, even though they’ve likely not seen much data (if any at all) incompatible with it. This is due to an innate bias to look for structure-dependent rules.

Poverty of the Stimulus: Real Data

A larger point about data-driven learning

Problem: “…wild statistical disparities between what is presented to children and how children actually learn” - Legate & Yang (2002)

Example: Subject-drop (lots of “data”, late generalization)
“She solves the Labyrinth” instead of “She solves the Labyrinth”
Almost all English sentences contain a subject, but children don’t get it till 3.

Example: Verb-Raising in French (little “data”, early generalization)
“She eats not the peach”
Only 7% of French sentences show this, but children acquire it by 1.5 years.

The point: Children come with innate biases that allow them to use data in specific ways to update their hypotheses.

Some Clarifications
Clarifying the Logical Problem of Language Acquisition
It is not saying that there is no role for probabilistic learning.

Probabilistic learning (like Bayesian learning) is a method for updating beliefs about the hypothesis space, given the available data. But the child needs to have a defined hypothesis space.

Innate/prior bias: What hypotheses should the child consider?
Ex: Structure-dependent rules for question formation

Innate/prior bias: How should the child use the data available?
Ex: Use only highly informative data, ignore noisy data

It is not saying there is no role for generalization.
Instead: why do children generalize along some dimensions (past tense +ed), and not others?

An example where kids don’t generalize
Crain & McKee (1985)

While he danced around the throne room, Jareth smiled.
Jareth smiled while he danced around the throne room.

(here = Jareth)
An example where kids don’t generalize

Crain & McKeen (1985)

While he danced around the throne room, Jareth smiled.
(he = Jareth)

Jareth smiled while he danced around the throne room.
(he = Jareth)

While Jareth danced around the throne room, he smiled.
(he = Jareth)

He smiled while Jareth danced around the throne room.
(he = Jareth)

Idea: Constraint on interpretation with pronouns

Standard Theory

Language acquisition, according to Chomsky

How does a child acquire a grammar that has those properties (generative, involving abstract structures, modular, domain-specific)?

Poverty of the stimulus problem: Available data insufficient to determine all these properties of the grammar. Therefore, children must bring innate knowledge to the language learning problem that guides them to the correct instantiation of grammar.

Available data: properties leading to this inductive problem:
noisy (degenerate): sometimes there are incorrect examples in the input
variable: no child’s input is the same as another’s, but all converge
no reliable negative evidence: no labeled examples of what’s not in the language
no positive evidence for some generalizations: yet children still converge on them

Specificity of Innate Knowledge

“Innate capacities may take the form of biases or sensitivities toward particular types of information inherent in environmental events such as language, rather than a priori knowledge of grammar itself.” - Seidenberg (1997)

Levels of Representation

Marr (1982)
On Explaining (Marr 1982)

“...[need] a clear understanding of what is to be computed, how it is to be done, the physical assumptions on which the method is based, and some kind of analysis of the algorithms that are capable of carrying it out.”

“This was what was missing - the analysis of the problem as an information-processing task. Such analysis does not usurp an understanding at the other levels - of neurons or of computer programs - but it is a necessary complement to them, since without it there can be no real understanding of the function of all those neurons.”

The three levels

Computational
What is the goal of the computation? What is the logic of the strategy by which it is to be carried out?

Algorithmic
How can this computational theory be implemented? What is the representation for the input and output, and what is the algorithm for the transformation?

Implementational
How can the representation and algorithm be realized physically?

The three levels: An example with the cash register

Computational
What does this device do?
Arithmetic.
Task: Master theory of addition.

Algorithmic (Addition)
Addition: Mapping of a pair of numbers to another number.

(3+4) = 7 (often written (3+4=7))
Properties: (3+4) = (4+3) [commutative], (3+4)+5 = 3+(4+5) [associative], (3+0) = 3 [identity element], (3+ -3) = 0 [inverse element]
True no matter how numbers are represented: this is what is being computed
The three levels:
An example with the cash register

Computational
What does this device do?
Arithmetic.

Task: Master theory of addition.

Algorithmic (Addition)
Addition: Mapping of a pair of numbers to another number.

(3,4) → 7 (often written (3+4=7))
Properties: (3+4) = (4+3) [commutative], (3+4)+5 = 3+(4+5) [associative], (3+0) = 3 [identity element], (3+ -3) = 0 [inverse element]
True no matter how numbers are represented: this is what is being computed

Implementational
How does cash register implement this? A series of mechanical and electronic components.

Mapping the Framework:
Algorithmic Theory of Language Learning

Goal: Understanding the “how” of language learning

First, we need a computational-level description of the learning problem.

Computational Problem: Divide spoken speech into words
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Computational Problem: Map word forms to speaker-invariant forms

Mapping the Framework:
Algorithmic Theory of Language Learning

Goal: Understanding the “how” of language learning

First, we need a computational-level description of the learning problem.

Computational Problem: Map word forms to speaker-invariant forms

“friends”
Mapping the Framework: Algorithmic Theory of Language Learning

Goal: Understanding the “how” of language learning

First, we need a computational-level description of the learning problem.

Computational Problem: Identify grammatical categories

“This is a DAX.”

DAX = noun

Mapping the Framework: Algorithmic Theory of Language Learning

Goal: Understanding the “how” of language learning

First, we need a computational-level description of the learning problem.

Computational Problem: Identify word affixes that signal meaning.

What do you have to change about the verb to signal the past tense in English? (There are both regular and irregular patterns.)

Mapping the Framework: Algorithmic Theory of Language Learning

Goal: Understanding the “how” of language learning

First, we need a computational-level description of the learning problem.

Computational Problem: Identify the rules of word order for sentences.

Subject Verb Object

Jareth juggles crystals

Subject Verb Object

English

Kamada

Considerations: input available to child, psychological plausibility of learning algorithm, hypotheses child considers

Mapping the Framework: Algorithmic Theory of Language Learning

Goal: Understanding the “how” of language learning

First, we need a computational-level description of the learning problem.

Second, we need to be able to identify the algorithmic-level description:

Input = sounds, syllables, words, phrases, ...
Output = sound categories, words, words with affixes, grammatical categories, ...

Process the can take us from input to output: statistical learning, algebraic learning, ...

Considerations: input available to child, psychological plausibility of learning algorithm, hypotheses child considers
What are the hypotheses available for generating the output from the input? Ex: general word order patterns

Input: words (adjective and noun)
Output: ordered pair

Adjective before noun (ex: English)
red apple

Noun before adjective (ex: Spanish)
manzana roja
apple red

What data is available, and should the learner use all of it? Ex: exceptions to general word order patterns

Ignore special use of adjective before noun in Spanish
Special use: If the adjective is naturally associated with the noun:
la blanca nieve
the white snow

Why not usual order? Snow is naturally white

How will the learner update beliefs in the competing hypotheses? Ex: shifting belief in what the regular word order of adjectives and nouns should be

This usually will involve some kind of probabilistic updating function.